Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports

3(4): 1-9, 2019; Article no.AJARR.47633

## Review of Fuel Consumption, Draft Force and Ground Speed Measurements of the Agricultural Tractor during Tillage Operations

### Amer M. Mamkagh<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Production, Mutah University, Mutah, Karak, Jordan.

#### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJARR/2019/v3i430093 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Choon Wah Yuen, Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Nyong Princely Awazi ,University of Dschang, Cameroon. (2) Dr. Venkata Sanyasi Seshendra Kumar karri, GITAM University, India. (3) Jaime Cuauhtemoc Negrete, Autonomous Agrarian Antonio Narro University, Mexico. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47633</u>

**Review Article** 

Received 19 December 2018 Accepted 01 March 2019 Published 13 March 2019

#### ABSTRACT

Estimating the amount of fuel consumption of an agricultural tractor during various tillage operations will help the selection of the best conservation practices for farm equipment. Draft requirements often dictate the size of the power unit required on a particular farm and, therefore, will also be required for energy management decisions. They will also be considered a prominent factor during the selection of a tractor's machinery and power source. In addition, ground speed measurement is not only necessary for many agricultural machinery applications but also to monitor and map crop yield and properly change the application rate of agricultural inputs. There are several methods and techniques to measure a tractor's fuel consumption, draft force, and ground speed. All these methods have many advantages and disadvantages depending on the tractor, the implement used, and the operating conditions. This article reviews some of these methods, providing scope for further research to focus on the potential development and improvement of the measurement methods, and this could positively affect the accuracy of the data and final results of the research.

Keywords: Diesel engine; fuel meter; monitoring system; sensor; tillage implement.

\*Corresponding author: Email: mamkag93@yahoo.com, amer\_mam@mutah.edu.jo;

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Tillage is a very important practice in agriculture [1,2,3,4] and, one of the major energy consumers in agricultural production; its efficiency is measured by the power consumption [5] and considered as the most fuel-consuming practices in agriculture [6,7]. Plowing as a part of tillage also accounts for more traction energy than any other field operation and often determines the size of the suitable tractor. It consumes up to 59% of all diesel required for the complete technology [8].

There are many methods to decrease the tractor's fuel consumption during tillage operations. One of them is the wheel slippage reduction to the minimum, whereas great slippage deteriorates the structure of soil and increases fuel consumption. Therefore the issue of reducing the tractor wheel slippage during tillage have been investigated and reported by many researchers [9,10,11,12,13,14]. Estimating the amount of fuel consumption of an agricultural tractor during various tillage operations will help the selection of the best conservation practices for farm equipment [15,16].

Implement energy requirements vary greatly with soil type, soil moisture, soil density, previous treatment, ground cover, and operation speed and depth [17]. Draft requirements will often dictate the size of the power unit required on a particular farm. Since the power unit represents a major capital investment, a better understanding of draft requirements can aid machinery management decisions. Energy management of agricultural machinery will also be increasingly important in the future. Draft requirements will also be required for energy management decisions [18]. The implement draft force is used to determine the fuel consumed for soil tillage because the decrease in draft could lead to a decrease in tractor's fuel consumption.

Measurement of ground speed is necessary for many agricultural machinery applications. The ground speed is needed to monitor and map crop yield and properly change the application rate of agricultural inputs such as pesticides and fertilizers in precision agriculture [19,20,21,22].

#### 2. MEASUREMENT OF THE TRACTOR FUEL CONSUMPTION

Diesel engines have two fuel-lines: A delivery line from the tank to the cylinders, and a return

line, to convey back in the tank the fuel not injected as a consequence of the accelerator position and pump settings [23]. Measurement of fuel consumption on a diesel engine requires compensation for fuel returned to tank from the injectors and injection pump. The return flow is often hot and foamy, making accurate measurement difficult [24].

To find the effect of some operating factors on the farm tractor during the tillage practice Mamkagh measured the fuel consumption using the traditional, manual method wherein the fuel tank is filled to full capacity and the amount of fuel consumed is then calculated [6,7,25,26].

Green et al. [27] developed an instrumentation package to monitor tractor performance on a John Deere 4440 tractor where performance variables measured included front and rear wheel rotational speed, ground speed by Doppler radar, engine speed, differential speed, drawbar pull, axle torgue and fuel consumption. They measured the fuel consumption with a fluidvne instrumentation model 1214-D flow measurement system. Primary components of the system were a four-piston positive displacement flow transducer with two phase (quadrature output) photo optical transmitter, a thermocouple probe installed in-line before the flow transducer for sensing fuel temperature, and а flow indicator/totalizer which displayed digital values of average fuel flow (lb/h), fuel temperature (°F), and totalized values of fuel metered (lb).

Also Bedri and Al-Hashem [28] developed an instrumentation package for monitoring tractor performance. The package included a data acquisition system and transducers for monitoring forward speed, rear wheel speed, fuel consumption and drawbar pull. They measured the fuel flow with an RS 256-225 turbine flow transducer of a range of 3-90 L/hr. The transducer has a neutrally buoyant rotor that spins with the fuel at rotational velocity proportional to the fuel flow. The rotor movement is sensed when a hall effect switch inside the housing is activated by three small magnets in the turbine. The transducer was connected between the main fuel tank and the injection pump for measuring the fuel flowing from the tank. Heat exchanger placed in front of the tractor radiator and used to cool the return fuel from the injection pump and the injectors which then returned to an intermediate vented fuel tank as shown in Fig. 1. Finely, they concluded that their results reflected a high precision for the transducers and the data acquisition system.

To study the effect of plow depth on tractor's fuel consumption during moldboard plowing Fathollahzadeh et al. [29] installed two turbine type fuel flow meter sensors on a diesel engine of the John Deer tractor. An electronic board received and saved digital pulses from these sensors.

Kheiralla et al. [30] used oval flow meter sensor to measure fuel consumption of the tractor which was located between injection pump and fuel filter.

A tractor monitor circuit was developed by Pang et al. [31] to measure the tractor fuel consumption indirectly. This device used the exhaust gases temperature and fifth wheel signals to provide an output proportional to instantaneous fuel consumption in terms of liters per hectare. The standard error of that monitor system was 0.07 L/ha.

When Ranjbarian et al. [32] studied the performance of tractor and tillage implements in clay soil the fuel consumption was measured by using a secondary tank of 8L capacity with a level marked tube and bulb with volume of 138.6 cm<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 2). The tank was installed and connected to the tractor fuel tank through hoses and two valves. The tank was first filled with fuel during the actual run. The tractor was first let go on its fuel from the main tank. To measure the fuel consumption during a specific field operation, the secondary tank was utilized through the valves to fill the bulb. Then, turn the valves off and used stop watch when the fuel arrived to the first mark of the bulb. After the fuel arrived to the second mark, turn off the stop watch at the same time. The bulb had constant volume, so it was easy to calculate the fuel consumption.

Moitzi et al. [33] installed a high-performance flow meter (PLU 116H, AVL 2005, List, Graz, Austria) with a proportional-integral (PI) controller in the fuel system of the tractor (Fig. 3). They measured volumetric fuel consumption continuously with a measurement precision uncertainty of 0.3% with a negligible pressure drop between inlet and outlet, also air bubble releaser and heat exchanger between fuel inlet and fuel outlet of the measurement system were installed. The digital rectangular signal was logged with a scan rate of 1 Hz. Then they calculated consumption flow rate  $(L.h^{-1})$  according the below equation:

$$Q = \frac{f \cdot 3.6}{K_D}$$

where, Q is flow rate, L h<sup>-1</sup>; f is frequency, Hz.

When Gonzalez-de-Soto et al. [34] used a robotic tractor in weed and pest control a fuel measurement system consisted of two flow sensors as shown in Fig. 4 measured the fuel flow during the experiment. The instantaneous fuel consumption was the difference between the data from flow meter 1 and the data from flow meter 2. Because a substantial amount of noise was observed during the measurement process due to high temperature of the fuel returned they had to add a cooling device in the return line before the flow meter.

A high precision computerized instrumentation package was developed by Singh and Singh [35] and mounted on a 50 kW tractor to monitor and measure the three-point linkage forces, ground speed, tillage depth, fuel consumption, forward speed, wheel slip, engine speed, hydraulic pressure and fluid temperatures. They measured the fuel flow rate with turbine flow transducer which located between the main fuel tank and the injection pump. This transducer had a neutrally buoyant rotor that spins at rotational velocity proportional to the fuel flow. The fuel flow transducer calibration was achieved by collecting the output pulses for a known volume (100 ml) of diesel fuel.

#### 3. DRAFT MEASUREMENT

Minimum power consumption and improved operating efficiency can be obtained by ideal matching of the tractor and implement. This can be achieved by measuring the draft force required by tillage implements which is a prominent factor during selection of machinery and power source of the tractor [36].

Bedri and Al-Hashem [28] measured the draft with a 10 tone Novatech 50-204 bidirectional load cell. During their experiment link points between the drawbar and load were modified to assure that the load cell is maintained horizontally. Also, a Novatech amplifier 58-307 was connected between the load cell and the data logger. They concluded that the study results reflected a high precision for the transducers and the data acquisition system. While Green et al. [27] measured draft with a proving ring load cell mounted on the front end of the existing drawbar. The load cell consisted of a full wheatstone bridge assembly with 35 ohm gage located in the proving ring to maximize effects of axial forces and minimize effects of bending moments.

To measure the draft of mounted implements Tewari et al. [37] developed a three point

hitch system. It consisted of four parts including the arms with extension for left and right, sensing components, inverted T frame and a head bar as shown in Fig. 5a. The force sensing elements consisted of three load cells, which were inserted between the frame and the hook brackets. The front end of the frame attached to the tractor and the rear end attached to the implement as sown in Fig. 5b.



Fig. 1. Design of fuel measurement system [28]



Fig. 2. The fuel meter [32]



Fig. 3. Fuel consumption monitoring system [33] 1. Fuel tank; 2 Pre-pump; 3. Pressure control with manometer; 4. Pre-filter; 6. Flow-meter PLU 116H; 7. Fuel pump; 8. Fuel injection pump; 9. Fuel/fuel heat Exchanger 10. Glass sight gauge for fuel recirculation control

Mamkagh; AJARR, 3(4): 1-9, 2019; Article no.AJARR.47633



Fig. 4. Scheme of the fuel flow measurement system [34]



# Fig. 5. a) Developed Three point linkage dynamometer, b) Field testing of the developed three point hitch dynamometer with an implement [37]

When Roeber et al. [38] developed a high precision computerized instrumentation package to monitor and measure various performance parameters of a tractor and implement system the draft measurement was achieved with 20 kN and 15 kN Novatech 50-204 bidirectional pin type load cell used as a connector to attach top link and lower link of tractor to implements. They found no significant differences between drawbar and load car measurements confirming that the system developed as part of their research project can be used for field use.

#### **4. GROUND SPEED**

There are a number of techniques for the determination of the ground speed; however, each of these methods has some disadvantages [39].

To measure the tractor ground speed Tompkins *et al.* [40] used a pneumatic free-floating fifth wheel arrangement on the left side of the tractor as indicated schematically in Fig. 6. A hydraulic

cylinder was used to raise and lower the wheel. Taken from the original design [41] a gear and a magnetic sensor were used to count the wheel rotation.

Using another method, they designed and constructed a front-wheel speed sensor as shown in Fig. 7, where a gear was mounted on the hub of the front left wheel of the tractor. A Disc Instruments Inc. Model EC82 incremental optical shaft encoder was mounted on the assembly axle. Thus, the encoder produced 150 pulses per revolution of the front tractor tire.

For the same purpose, they mounted a singlebeam radar on the right side of the tractor engine compartment. Operating on the Doppler frequency shift principle, this sensor transmitted and received radio frequency at 24.125 GHz. The measured frequency difference between transmitted signals and those reflected from the ground surface was proportional to the ground speed of the vehicle. Output from the radar unit was routed directly to the counter board in the microcomputer.

#### Mamkagh; AJARR, 3(4): 1-9, 2019; Article no.AJARR.47633



Fig. 6. Schematic of fifth wheel ground speed sensor; part of the original figure from [40]



Fig. 7. Schematic of ground speed sensor mounted on left front tractor wheel [40]

From the results, they noted that both the frontand fifth-wheel sensors consistently indicated ground speeds less than actual on all soil surfaces due to slip between the tire and the tractive surface.

Keskin and Say [39] investigated the effectiveness of two low-cost GPS receivers for measuring ground speed under varying speed conditions of a two-wheel drive agricultural tractor on four different dates. They used a rotary shaft encoder on an auxiliary wheel mounted on an agricultural tractor as a reference case and they concluded that the GPS receivers provide reliable data during constant speed operating conditions.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

An agricultural tractor's fuel consumption can be measured using the traditional, manual method wherein the fuel tank is filled to full capacity and the amount of fuel consumed is then calculated. However, nowadays, it is often measured using novel systems such as a fluidyne instrumentation flow. The instrumentation package includes a data acquisition system and transducers, a turbine flow transducer, a developed tractor monitor circuit that uses the exhaust gas temperature, a secondary tank, a high performance flow meter with a proportional integral controller, fuel flow sensors, and a high-precision computerized instrumentation package.

Draft force can be measured by using many devices like; a 10 tone Novatech bidirectional load cell between the drawbar and load, a proving ring load cell mounted on the front end of the drawbar, developed a three point hitch system and a high precision computerized instrumentation package.

To determine the tractor ground speed, a number of techniques were found, such as fifth-wheel arrangement, front-wheel speed sensor, and single-beam radar on the right side of the tractor engine compartment. All measurement systems mentioned above have many advantages and disadvantages depending on the tractor and implement used and operating conditions.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Mamkagh AM. Effect of tillage time and plastic mulch on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) grown under rain-fed conditions. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2009;11(4):453-457.
- Hunaiti D, Mamkagh A. Economics of plowing productivity (application study for barely crop). Minufiya Journal of Agricultural Research. 2003;28(4):1093-1099.
- Mamkagh AM. Effect of tillage speed, depth, ballast weight and tire inflation pressure on the fuel consumption of the agricultural tractor: A review. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2018; 9(1):1-7.
- 4. Mamkagh AM. Effect of soil moisture, tillage speed, Depth, Ballast Weight and, Used Implement on Wheel Slippage of the Tractor: A Review. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research, 2019;9(1):1-7.
- Bentaher H, Ibrahmi A, Hamza E, Hbaieb M, Kantchev G, Maalej A, Arnold W. Finite element simulation of moldboard-soil interaction. Soil and Tillage Research 2013;134:11–16.
- Mamkagh AM, Factors affecting tractor fuel consumption during tillage operation. Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2002;10(2):441–452.
- Mamkagh AM. Effect of speed, tillage angle and tilt angle on fuel consumption using disk plow. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2002;3(4):100-104.
- Egidijus Sarauskis, Kristina Vaitauskiene, Kestutis Romaneckas, Algirdas Jasinskas, Vidmantas Butkus, Zita Kriauciunien. Fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission analysis in different strip tillage scenarios. Energy. 2016;118:1-12.

- Mamkagh AM. Some factors affecting the wheel slip of a 2WD farm tractor. Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009; 5(4):519-525.
- Mamkagh AM. Effect of plowing speed, disk angle and tilt angle on farm tractor wheel slip and on plowing depth using disk plow. Jordan J. Agric. Sci. 2009;5(3): 352-360.
- Udompetaikul V, Upadhyaya S, Vannucci B. The effect of tire inflation pressure on fuel consumption of an agricultural tractor operating on paved roads. Trans. ASABE 2011;54(1):25–30.
- 12. Adewoyin A, Ajav E. Fuel consumption of some tractor models for ploughing operations in the sandy-loam soil of Nigeria at various speeds and ploughing depths. International Agricultural Engineering Journal. 2013;15(3):67-74.
- Janulevicius A, Damanauskas V. How to select air pressures in the tires of MFWD (Mechanical Front-Wheel Drive) tractor to minimize fuel consumption for the case of reasonable wheel slip. Energy. 2015;90(1): 691-700.
- Pitla S, Luck J, Werner J, Lin N, Shearer S. In-field fuel use and load states of agricultural field machinery. Biological Systems Engineering at Digital Commons, University of Nebraska – Lincoln; 2016.
- Abbaspour-Gilandeh Y, Alimardani R, Khalilian A, Keyhani A, Sadati H. Energy requirement of site-specific and conventional tillage as affected by tractor speed and soil parameters. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2006; 8(4):499-503.
- Abbaspour-Gilandeh Y, Rahimi-Ajdadi F, Asli-Ardeh E, Sharabiani V. Application of artificial neural network for predicting fuel consumption of tractor. International Agricultural Engineering Conference, Bangkok, Thailand. 2009;710.
- 17. Michel Jr JA, Fornstrom KJ, Borelli J. Energy requirements of two tillage systems for irrigated sugarbeets, dry beans and corn. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1985;28(6):1731-1735.
- 18. Thomson NP, Shinners KJ. A portable instrumentation system for measuring draft and Speed. Applied Engineering in Agriculture. 1989;5(2):133-137.
- 19. Shannon K, Brumett J, Ellis C, Hoette G. Can a \$300 GPS receiver be used for yield

mapping. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. ASAE Annual Meeting. 2001; 011154.

DOI: 10.13031/2013.7358

- Vishwanathan R, Weckler PR, Solie JB, Stone ML. Evaluation of ground speed sensing devices under varying ground surface conditions. ASABE, St. Joseph, MI, USA. 2005;Paper No: 05-1087.
- Mullenix D, Fulton J, Winstead A. Using GPS as a source for ground speed radar inputs. Precision agriculture series timely information. Alabama Cooperative Extension System Publication, USA. 2010; 2.
- 22. Keskin M, Sekerli YE, Kahraman S. Performance of two low-cost GPS receivers for ground speed measurement under varying speed conditions. Precision Agriculture. 2017;18(2):264-277.
- 23. Bietresato M, Mazzetto F. Ideation, realization and experimentation of prototype device for measuring farm tractor fuel consumption during dyno tests. Conference: Engineering for Rural Development, Jelgava. 2018;05:23-25.
- 24. McLaughlin NB, Heslop LC, Buckley DJ, Amour JR, Compton BA, Jones AM, Van Bodegom P. A general purpose tractor instrumentation and data logging system. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1993;36(2):265-273.
- 25. Mamkagh AM. The effect of landside length on tractor fuel consumption and depth stability of moldboard plow. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. 2007;58:233-238.
- 26. Mamkagh AM. The effect of the weights loading on the front of farm tractor on depth stability using disk plow. Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. 2008;59:1-5.
- 27. Green MK, Stout BA, Searcy SW. Instrumentation package for monitoring tractor performance. Transactions of the ASAE. 1985;28(2):346-355.
- Bedri ARA, Al-Hashem HAS. High precision instrumentation package for monitoring the tractor performance. Scientific Journal of King Faisal University (Basic and Applied Sciences). 2006;7:95-106.
- 29. Fathollahzadeh H, Mobli H, Rajabipour A, Minaee S, Jafari A, Tabatabaie S. Average and instantaneous fuel consumption of Iranian conventional tractor with

moldboard plow in tillage. ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. 2010; 5(20):30-35.

- Kheiralla AF, Yahya A, Zohadie M, Ishak W. Modeling of power and energy requirements for tillage implements operating in Serdang sandy clay loam, Malaysia. Soil and Tillage Research. 2004; 78:21-34.
- Pang S, Zoerb G, Wang G. Tractor monitor based on indirect fuel measurement. Transactions of the ASAE. 1985;28(4): 994-998.
- 32. Ranjbarian S, Askari M, Jannatkhah J. Performance of tractor and tillage implements in clay soil. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;16(2):154-162.
- Moitzi G, Wagentristl H, Refenner K, Weingartmann H, Piringer G, Boxberger J, Gronauer A. Effects of working depth and wheel slip on fuel consumption of selected tillage implements. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal. 2014;16(1):182-190.
- Gonzalez-de-Soto M, Emmi L, Garcia I, Gonzalez-de-Santos P. Reducing fuel consumption in weed and pest control using robotic tractors. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2015;114:96– 113.
- 35. Singh CD, Singh RC. Computerized instrumentation system for monitoring the tractor performance in the field. Journal of Terramechanics. 2011;48:333–338.
- Chethan CR, Tewari VK. Nare B, Kumar SP. Transducers for measurement of draft and torque of tractor-implement system—A Review. Agricultural mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2018; 49(4):81-87.
- Tewari VK, Ravi N, Jha KR, Ashok A. Design and development of a threepointlinkage dynamometer for tillage research. Agricultural Engineering Today. 2012;36(3).
- Roeber JBW, Pitla SK, Hoy RM, Luck JD, Kocher MF. Development and validation of tractor drawbar force measurement and data acquisition system (DAQ). Applied Engineering in Agriculture; 2017;33(6): 781-789.
- 39. Keskin M, Say SM. Feasibility of low-cost GPS receivers for ground speed measurement. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2006;54(1):36-43.

Mamkagh; AJARR, 3(4): 1-9, 2019; Article no.AJARR.47633

40. Tompkins FD, Hart WE, Freeland RS, Wilkerson JB, Wilhelm LR. Comparison of Tractor Ground Speed Measurement Techniques. Transactions of the ASAE. 1988;31(2):0369-0374.

DOI: 10.13031/2013.30716

41. Tompkins FD, Wilhelm LR. Microcomputer based, tractor data acquisition system. Transactions of the ASAE. 1982;25(6): 1540-1543.

© 2019 Mamkagh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47633