

*Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology*

*Volume 45, Issue 16, Page 50-63, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.3792 ISSN: 0256-971X (P)*

# **Attraction of** *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* **(Fahraeus) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to Odors from Alternate Host Plants**

# **Guillermo López-Guillén a\*, Leopoldo Cruz-López <sup>b</sup> and Andrea Joyce <sup>c</sup>**

*a Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (INIFAP), Campo Experimental Rosario Izapa, Tuxtla Chico, Chiapas, C. P. 30780, México. <sup>b</sup> Grupo Académico de Ecología Química, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur, Tapachula, Chiapas, CP 30700, México. <sup>c</sup> Sierra Nevada Research Institute (SNRI), University of California Merced, 5200 N. Lake Road, Merced, California, 95343, USA.*

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164287](https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164287)

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3792>

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 15/05/2024 Accepted: 18/07/2024 Published: 24/07/2024*

# **ABSTRACT**

The soybean weevil *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* (Fahraeus), is an economically important pest that attacks soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] crops in northern and southern Mexico. *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* can be considered a specialist insect because it selects soybean plants for its reproduction and feeding. However, when the rainy season begins, new emerged adult weevils can feed and take refuge in other alternate host plants, such as *Rottboellia cochinchinensis* (Lour.) W.

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: lopez.guillermo@inifap.gob.mx, glopezg8@hotmail.com;*

*Cite as: López-Guillén, Guillermo, Leopoldo Cruz-López, and Andrea Joyce. 2024. "Attraction of Rhyssomatus Nigerrimus (Fahraeus) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to Odors from Alternate Host Plants". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (16):50-63. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164287.*

Clayton, *Hyparrhenia rufa* (Nees) Stapf, *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Persoon and *Ipomoea trifida* (Kunth) G. Don when soybean is not available. These host plants can potentially be used as attractant plants. The objectives of this study were a) to determine the attraction response of adult *R. nigerrimus* to four species of alternate host and their volatiles extracts, b) to identify the volatile compounds present in each alternate host plant species volatiles extracts, and c) to determine the antennal response (EAG) of female and male *R. nigerrimus* to volatiles extracts from the alternate host plants. The attraction bioassays were conducted in an arena, on which we evaluate the *R. nigerrimus* response to foliage and extract of the alternate host plants. The antennal response of *R. nigerrimus* females and males to volatiles from alternate host plants was determined by the electroantennogram (EAG) technique. Female and male *R. nigerrimus* were more attracted by the foliage and extracts from *I. trifida*, *R. cochinchinensis*, *H. rufa* and *S. halepense* than to the control. The strongest EAG response of female and male *R. nigerrimus* was observed with the volatiles from *S. halepense*, *H. rufa*, *I. trifida* and *R. cochinchinensis*. The control elicited the weakest antennal response. The GC-MS analysis of the volatile compounds from *I. trifida*, *S. halepense*, *H. rufa* and *R. cochinchinensis* revealed the presence of 17, 9, 9 and 9 compounds, respectively. Major volatiles compounds could be used as lures in traps to control *R. nigerrimus*.

*Keywords: The soybean weevil; volatiles; bioassays; EAG.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The soybean weevil, *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* (Fahraeus) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is an economically important pest in soybean crops in Chiapas, Tamaulipas, San Luis Potosí and Veracruz, Mexico [1,2]. Although both male and female adults feed on seedlings, stems, petioles, buds, flowers, and pods causing serious lesions, the most severe damage is inflicted by females when they oviposit inside the developing pods where the larvae feed on the soybean seeds [1]. Damage of up to 70% of pods has been reported when no control measure is applied [2].

The soybean weevil is a specialist that selects only soybean plants (*Glycine max* L.; Fabaceae) as its main host for reproduction and feeding [3,2]. However, when soybean plants are not available, recently emerged adults can take refuge in and feed on foliage of some alternate host species of the Poaceae, Convolvulaceae, Asteraceae, Lorentaceae, Vervenaceae, Rubiaceae, Portulacaceae and Fabaceae families [1,3,2]. The same feeding habits on host weeds growing in the periphery of soybean fields have been reported in *Rhyssomatus subtilis* Fiedler (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) adult before soybeans are planted in Argentina [4]. For this reason, it is important to understand what chemical and physical factors are involved in selecting some alternate host plant species by the Curculionidae family [5-11].

Insects of the Curculionidae family are attracted to volatiles compounds emitted by its host plants and from its alternate host and non-hosts. For example, *Chalcodermus aeneus* Boheman is attracted to odor of wild alternate hosts for feeding on leaves, stems and inflorescences [12]. *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (L.) and *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* F., are also attracted to volatiles from their alternate host plants [13,14]. Determining the behavioral and antennal response of adult *R. nigerrimus* to the main alternate host plant volatiles in soybean fields could help to establish monitoring and control programs for *R. nigerrimus* in soybean crops.

**Objective of Study:** The objectives of this study were a) to determine the attraction response of adult *R. nigerrimus* to four species of alternate host plants (*I. trifida, H. rufa*, *S. halepense* and *R. cochinchinensis*) and their extracts, b) to identify the volatile compounds present in each alternate host plant species extracts and c) to determine the antennal response (EAG) of female and male *R. nigerrimus* to volatiles from the alternate host plants extracts.

### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Insects and Plants**

We collected adult soybean weevils, *R. nigerrimus*, from August to November 2021 in alternate host plants on the soybean field boundaries which are located in the ejidos Francisco I. Madero (14° 51' 46'' N; 92° 23' 16'' W, 30 m) and Las Tinajas 2nd section (14°42' 49'' N; 092° 20' 43'' W, 16 m), in the municipality of Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico. The collected adults were taken to the insectary of the Crop Protection Laboratory of INIFAP (Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones, Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias), Rosario Izapa Experimental Station, Tuxtla Chico, Chiapas, Mexico. The weevils were sexed under a stereoscopic microscope following the technique previously described [15]. Males and females were placed in separate 1 L plastic recipients. The insects were fed with pieces (0.5 mm X 0.5 mm) of sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) daily and kept in the insectarium of INIFAP at a temperature of 27  $\pm$  1 °C, 70  $\pm$  5% relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 12 h light:dark.

We collected the four alternate host plant species of adult *R. nigerrimus*: itchgrass [*Rottboellia cochinchinensis* (Lour.) W. Clayton], jaraguagrass [*Hyparrhenia rufa* (Nees) Stapf], Johnsongrass [*Sorghum halepense* (L.) Persoon (Poaceae)], and tie-vine morning glory [*Ipomoea trifida* (Kunth) G. Don (Convolvulaceae)] in the periphery of soybean fields in the ejidos Francisco I. Madero and Tinajas 2nd Sección, in the municipality of Tapachula, Chiapas. The plant material was stored separately in plastic bags that were labeled, sealed and transported in a cooler with refrigerating gel to the laboratory.

# **2.2** *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* **Attraction Response to Foliage and Volatiles from Alternate Hosts**

We conducted bioassays in a rectangular plastic arena (36 x 26 cm, with a 14-cm-tall wall), which contained a layer of 8 cm of sterilized soil, similar to the arena described by [16]. At one extreme end of the arena, we placed 16 g of foliage of any the alternate host plant (*I. trifida*, *H. rufa*, *S. halepense* or *R. cochinchinensis*) on a 5 cm diameter circle of Whatman #2 filter paper. At the opposite extreme end of the arena, we placed only a filter paper circle 5 cm in diameter, which was used as the control. For the bioassays with alternate host plant extracts, 100 µL of one extract was applied in one of the piece of filter paper, respectively, and 100 µL dichloromethane as the control in the other piece of filter paper. After application of each extracts or solvent, the circles were left for 20 s to evaporate the solvents. The methodology to collect the volatiles is described in the section of volatile capture from alternate hosts. At the center of the arena, we released three female or male *R. nigerrimus* weevils per replication and treatment (foliage or dichloromethane extracts of alternate plant hosts), respectively. The weevils that were used in the bioassays had fasted for 24 h. Insect response was observed for 5 min, and the number of insects attracted to the treatment or

control was recorded. The bioassays were conducted in a dark room at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C between 9:00 and 18:00 h, the period of the most activity of *R. nigerrimus* in natural conditions [17]. A total of 50 replications per sex of weevil were conducted for each treatment and the control.

# **2.3 Volatile Capture from Alternate Host Plants**

The volatile extracts from alternate plant hosts of *R. nigerrimus* that were used in the behavioral bioassays were collected using the dynamic aeration method described in detail by [18]. The complete plant (approximately 500 mg) was placed in a glass chamber (1 L). On top of the chamber, we installed a collector with two entries, we placed an activated carbon filter in one of the entry and in the other one we placed the adsorbent Super Q (5 mg) (Alltech Associates, Deerfield, IL) where the volatiles emitted by the plant were trapped. The volatiles contained in the collection chamber were suctioned with a vacuum pump (air flow at 1 L/min) connected to a flowmeter (Gilmont Instruments Cole Parmer, USA), which in turn was connected to the collector. The collection process lasted 24 hours. The volatiles adsorbed by the Super Q were extracted with 400 μL HPLC grade dichloromethane and stored at up to -20°C in capped 2 mL glass vials. During all collections of volatiles, illumination was provided by four fluorescent lamps of 39 watts in a room without windows, with room temperature at 25±2 °C and 50-60% RH.

# **2.4 Chemical Identification of Compounds from Alternate Host Plants**

The volatile compounds from the extracts were identified in a Gas Chromatograph Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus (Tokyo, Japan) coupled to a mass spectrometer plus triple quadrupole TQ8040 (Colombia, Maryland, USA). A 5% phenylmethyl-silicone capillary column (DB5-MS) 30 m x 0.25 mm internal diameter and 0.25 µm film thickness was used. The temperature schedule was initiated at 5 °C and maintained for 2 min, and then was elevated 15 °C/min up to 280 °C and finally maintained for 10 min. The injector temperature was 250 °C, and ionization was carried out by electron impact at 70 eV and 250 °C. The analyzed aliquot was 1 µL per extract of each alternate host plant extract obtained by dynamic aeration.

Volatile compounds were identified by comparing the retention index, the mass spectrum, and the retention times of synthetic standards. Other compounds were tentatively identified based on comparison with the spectra of the library of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, version 2.0). The relative proportions of each of the compounds were quantified with the peak areas of the chromatographs.

# **2.5 Electrophysiological Response of** *R. nigerrimus* **to Volatiles from their Alternate Host Plants**

The antennal response of *R. nigerrimus* females and males to volatiles from the *H. rufa*, *S. halepense*, *R. cochinchinensis* and *I. trifida* extracts was determined by the electroantennogram (EAG) technique. The thorax of *R. nigerrimus* adult was gently cut, and a reference electrode was inserted into its base using a glass capillary filled with physiological Ringer solution (NaCl 0.35 g, CaCl2 0.21 g, KCl 0.35 g, and NaHCO3 0.2 g dissolved in 1 L water). A section of the antenna scape was carefully filed to insert the glass capillary of the recording electrode [19]. The signals generated by the antenna passed through a high impedance amplifier (NL 1200; Syntech, Hilversum, The Netherlands) and were visualized in a monitor using Syntech software to process the EAG signals. We used a stimulus flow regulator (CS-05; Syntech, Hilversum, The Netherlands) at 1-min intervals. A constant current of purified and humidified air was directed over the antenna (0.7 L/min) through a glass tube 10 mm in diameter in which the sample was placed for its analysis. The samples were placed separately inside a Pasteur pipette 150 mm long. 1μ of the alternate host plant extract was applied on a small piece of filter paper and then it was introduced into each pipette. Purified air was used as the control. To present the stimulus, the tip of the pipette that contained the sample was inserted through a lateral orifice located at midpoint of a glass tube through which pure humidified air passed at 0.5 L/min. Duration of the stimulus was 1 s. The signals generated by the antenna were amplified using a controller of intelligent data acquisition (Syntech IDAC-02, Hilversum, The Netherlands) connected to a computer and visualized in a monitor using the software Syntech EAG v.2.7. The samples were evaluated in random order. The treatments were replicated for each insect; we evaluated the

volatiles of four alternate hosts on 10 male and 10 female *R. nigerrimus* adults.

#### **2.6 Statistical Analysis**

The data on adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* behavioral responses to foliage and volatiles extracts from alternate host plants were analyzed with *G* tests with Williams' correction with  $\alpha$  = 0.05 [20]. Data on antennal responses of male and female *R*. *nigerrimus* to volatiles from the different species of alternate hosts were analyzed with an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey comparison of means ( $\alpha$  = 0.05). The analyses were carried out using R [21].

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Attraction Response of** *R. nigerrimus* **to Foliage of their Alternate Hosts**

Adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* were more attracted to the foliage of *R. cochinchinensis* (females: *G*= 81.38, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 87.96,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.00$ ) than to the control (Fig. 1).

Similarly, adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* were more attracted to the foliage of *H. rufa* (females: *G*= 41.4, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 45.3,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.00$ ) than to the control (Fig. 2).

Both adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* responded significantly to the foliage of *S. halpense* relative to the response to the control (females: *G*= 54.9, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 63.3, df= 1,  $P = 0.00$ ) (Fig. 3).

Finally, adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* were more attracted to the foliage of *I. trifida* (females: *G*= 72.5, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 75.8,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.00$ ) than to the control (Fig. 4).

#### **2.2 Attraction Response of** *R. nigerrimus* **to Volatiles from their Alternate Hosts**

Adult male and female *R. nigerrimus* response to the volatiles extracts from *R. cochinchinensis*  was significantly stronger than to the control (females: *G*= 93.86, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 106.92, df= 1,  $P = 0.00$ ) (Fig. 5).

Similarly, both male and female *R. nigerrimus* responded more to the volatiles extracts from *H. rufa* than to the control (females: *G*= 115.1, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 130.8, df= 1, P = 0.00) (Fig. 6).



**Fig. 1. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult male and female** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *R. cochinchinensis* **foliage. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (G tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



**Fig. 2. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *H. rufa* **foliage. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



**Fig. 3. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female and male** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *S. halepense* **tissue. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**







**Fig. 5. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female and male de** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *R. cochinchinensis* **volatiles. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



**Fig. 6. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female and male** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *H. rufa* **volatiles. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



**Fig. 7. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female and male** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *S. halepense* **volatiles. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



**Fig. 8. Average response (means ± S.E.) of adult female and adult** *R. nigerrimus* **to** *I. trifida* **volatiles. Bars marked with \*\* indicate significant differences with respect to the bar of the same color (***G* **tests with Williams' correction, P = 0.00).**



# **Table 1. Relative abundance of the compounds identified in** *I. trifida***,** *S. halepense***,** *H. rufa* **and** *R. cochinchinensis*

*retention index (RI), retention time (RT), supenindices*.



**Fig. 9. Chromatograms of total ions of** *I. trifida***,** *S. halepense***,** *H. rufa* **and** *R. cochinchinensis* **volatiles analyzed by GC-MS.**



**Fig. 10. EAG responses (means ± S.E.) of** *R. nigerrimus* **to volatiles from alternate hosts. Means followed by different letters (lower case for female and upper case for males) are significantly different (HSD Tukey, P = 0.05).**

Response of both adult male and female *R. nigerrimus* were stronger to the volatiles extracts from *S. halepense* (females: *G*= 25.7, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 44.2, df= 1, P = 0.00) than to the control (Fig. 7), as well as to the volatiles from *I. trifida* than the control (females: *G*= 94.83, df= 1, P = 0.00; males: *G*= 103.39, df= 1, P = 0.00) (Fig. 8).

# **2.3 Chemical Composition of Volatiles from Alternate Hosts**

The GC-MS analysis of the volatile extracts from the grasses showed that in *I. trifida* extract

contains *D*-verbenone (51.26%), *β*-caryophyllene (14.13%) and *β*-humulene (12.46%) as mayor components. For *S. halepense*, the most abundant compounds were *cis*-3-hexenol (37.44%), *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate (23.81%) and 2 ethyl-1-hexanol (15.22%). For *I. rufa*, the most abundant compounds were 3-carene (39.9%), αpinene (20.6) and cedr-8-ene (19.4%). Finally, in *R. cochinchinensis*, the compounds found in greater relative abundance were *cis*-3-hexenol (35.49%), *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate (22.62%) and phenylethyl alcohol (14.37%) (Fig. 9, Table 1).

# **2.4 Electroantennographic (EAG) Response of** *R. nigerrimus* **to Weed Volatiles**

The level of antennal response emitted for both *R. nigerrimus* females and males was significantly different between the evaluated treatments (females:  $F = 83.49$ ; df= 4,45; P = 0.05; males: F=20.2; df= 4,45; P = 0.05). The strongest antennal response of female *R. nigerrimus* was observed with volatiles extracts from *S. halepense* and *H. rufa*, followed by their response to volatiles from *I. trifida*, which was similar to the response from *R. cochinchinensis*. The weakest antennal response was to the control (Fig. 10). The antennae of male *R. nigerrimus* showed more EAG activity with the volatiles extract from *S. halepense* and *H. rufa*. The antennal response to *R. cochinchinensis* and *I. trifida* volatiles was intermediate between the response to the volatiles from *S. halepense* and *H. rufa* and the response to the control. The weakest antennal response was to the control (Fig. 10).

# **3. DISCUSSION**

The results of our study show that adult female and male *R. nigerrimus* were attracted to plant foliage and volatiles extracts of each of the extracts of the alternate host plant species evaluated. The chemical stimuli produced by *R. nigerrimus* alternate plant hosts can be considered responsible for the attraction, in the absence of visual and other types of stimuli. Previous work has reported that some weevil species are also attracted by volatiles produced by alternate plant hosts. For example, *Anthonomus eugenii* Cano (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is attracted by odors from<br>Solanum lycopersicum L. and Solanum *Solanum lycopersicum* L. and *Solanum melongena* L. [22]; *Otyorhynchus sulcatus* (F.) is attracted by volatiles from *Euonymus fortunei* (Turcz.) Hand.-Mazz [14]; *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) is attracted by odors from *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* (L.) Schott and *Dioscorea rotundata* Poir. [23]; *Rynchophorus ferrugineus* L. is attracted by odors from *Areca catechu* L. (Puwak) and *A. concinna* Thw. Enum. (Lentheti) [24]; *Chalcodermus aeneus* Boheman (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) feeds on leaves, stems and inflorescences of wild alternate hosts, which are sources of nutrition for adults that come out of winter diapause and cannot yet find cowpea plants (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) [20]. These weevil species were more attracted by odors from their alternate plant hosts, compared to

odors from non-host plants. This demonstrates that certain volatile compounds from species of alternate hosts serve to attract the weevils.

The positive responses of adult *R. nigerrimus* to foliage and volatile extracts of the four alternate host species is associated with the high specificity of the weevils to the released volatiles. In field conditions, when adult *R. nigerrimus* populations emerge and soybean crops have not yet been established, weevil populations have been observed feeding on *I. trifida*, *S. halepense*, *H. rufa* and *R. cochinchinensis*, but not on other plant species [3]. This feeding habit has also been reported in *R*. *subtilis* populations before soybean planting in Argentina but in other alternative host plant species [4]. *Rhyssomatus subtilis* feeds only on alternate host plant species such as *Conyza bonariensis* (L.) Cronquist, *Brassica campestris* (L.), Metzg. and *Sphaeralcea bonariensis* (Cav.) Griseb. The attraction response of *R. nigerrimus* to volatiles released by alternate host plants suggests that the weevils receive specific signals from host plant species that serve to recognize them as suitable sources of food for their survival. This has been demonstrated with other insect species and their alternate host plants [25,26,27].

In our study, we found that the grass *I. trifida* had the largest number of volatile compounds followed by *S. halepense* and *H. rufa* and *R. cochinchinensis*. The compounds *α*-pinene and *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate were common to the three grass species, while the compound *cis*-3-hexenol is the major compound in *S*. *halepense* and *R. cochinchinensis*. The compound *α*-pinene was found to be the second most abundant compound in *H. rufa* but it was lower in the other two grass species. In *H. rufa*, the major compound was 3-carene, which was also identified as a component of *H. rufa* essential oils [28]. Previously, cis-3- hexanol was reported as the major compound in *R. cochinchinensis* and *Cynodon nlemfuensis*, species considered alternate hosts of the spittle bugs, *Aeneolamia* spp. and *Prosapia* spp. (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) [29]. The compound *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate was the only compound identified in the volatiles of four alternate host species of *R. nigerrimus* studied. This compound was found in a low proportion in *I. trífida* and *H. rufa*, and in high proportion in *S. halepense* and *R. cochinchinensis*. *Cis*-3-hexenyl acetate had not been reported previously in volatiles from the plant species evaluated in this study. However, in previous work with insects of the Curculionidae family, we reported antennal activity with some of the volatile compounds identified in *R. nigerrimus* alternate hosts. Among the compounds with biological activity involved in host location are found *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate, *cis*-3-hexenol, *α*pinene, 3-carene and *β*-pinene [30,31]. To demonstrate which compounds are responsible for attracting adult *R. nigerrimus* to their alternate host plants it is necessary to conduct tests with GC-EAD. Then, the compounds that show biological activity should be evaluated using their synthetic counterparts in olfactometer tests.

We found through the EAG tests that the antennae of *R. nigerrimus* had a stronger response to the volatiles from alternate plant hosts than to the control. Likewise, it has been reported that the antennae of *Conotrachelus nenuphar* (Herbst) females and males responded more to the volatiles from two host plant species, *Prunus domestica* L. and *Malus domestica*, than to the control [7]. We also found that the amplitude of *R. nigerrimus* male and female antennal response to the four host plant species was similar. Similar results have been reported for amplitude of antennal response of female and male *Anthonomus musculus* and *C. nenuphar* to the odors of their host plants [7,32]. *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* antennal response of greatest amplitude was found with *S. halepense* and *H. rufa*, likely because they have unique compounds or specific proportions of compounds that elicit better antennal response, as was suggested with *C. nenuphar* antennae [33].

# **4. CONCLUSION**

In the present study we have demonstrated that *R. nigerrimus* adults were attracted by the odors of the four alternate host species through olfactometer trials and that the weevils are able to detect antenally the odors throught electrophysiological tests. Hence, we suggest future work to evaluate traps lured with alternate host plants extracts and major volatiles compounds as 3-hexenol and cis-3-hexenyl acetate, when the weevil begin to emerge from the soil and before soybean planting to estimate the *R. nigerrimus* attraction capacity to extracts in field conditions.

# **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by SEP-CONACYT (CB2017-2018; A1-S-23359) projects. We also express our thanks to the anonymous reviewers whose suggestions, comments, and corrections greatly helped improve our original manuscript.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. López-Guillén G, Terán-Vargas AP, Gómez Ruiz J, San-Juan Lara J, Rosado-Neto GH, O'Brien CW, Cruz-López L, Rodríguez-Del-Bosque LA, Alatorre-Rosas R. First record of *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* (Curculionidae: Molytinae: Cleogonini) infestations in soybeans in Mexico. Fla. Entomol. 2012;95(2):524-528. Available:https://doi.org/10.1653/024.095.0 247.
- 2. Terán-Vargas AP, López-Guillén G. El picudo de la soya *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* Fahraeus 1837 (Coleóptera: Curculionidae). Inifap/Cirne, Campo Experimental Las Huastecas, Tamaulipas, México. Folleto Técnico. 2014;38:28.
- 3. López-Guillén G, Cruz-López L, Joyce A, Hernández-Baz F. New host plants for soybean weevil, *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus*, in Mexico. Southwest. Entomol. 2023; 48(3):569-574. Available:https://doi.org/10.3958/059.048.0 308.
- 4. Cazado LE, Murúa MG, Casmuz A, Socías MG, Teresa Vera M, O'Brien CW, Gastaminza G. Geographical distribution<br>and new host associations of new host associations of *Rhyssomatus subtilis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Northwestern in Argentina. Fla. Entomol. 2013;96(2):663-669. Available:https://doi.org/10.1653/024.096.0 242.
- 5. Burke HR. Bionomics of the Anthonomine weevils. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 1976;21: 283-303. Available:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.e n.21.010176.001435.
- 6. Fernández DC, VanLaerhoven SL, McCreary C, Labbé RM. An overview of the pepper weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) as a pest of greenhouse peppers. J. Integr. Pest Manag. 2022; 11(1):1-11. Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pma

a029.

7. Leskey TC, Wright SE, Anger W, Chouinard G, Cormier D, Pichette A,

Zhang A. An electroantennogram technique for *Conotrachelus nenuphar* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Environ. Entomol. 2009;38(3):870-878.

8. Chandana CR, Nadagouda S, Sreenivas AG, Chandana TP, Hallikeri VF. Climatesmart pest management strategies: under changing climatic scenarios". J. Adv. Biol. 2024;27(6):364-77.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024 /v27i6896.

- 9. Ajaharuddin, SK MD, Lal M, Yadav A, Kumar N, Dhakad A, Sinha G, Pratap Singh B, Upadhyay A. Breeding for resistance against pest and diseases in tomatoes: a review". J. Sci. Res. Rep. 2024;30(6):469-79. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/ v30i62063.
- 10. González-Domínguez M, López-Guillén G, Cruz-López L. Volatiles from soybean flowers attract the Mexican soybean weevil, *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology. 2024 Jan 23;1-1. Available:https://link.springer.com/article/1 0.1007/s13355-023-00857-2
- 11. Franco OL, Dias SC, Magalhaes CP, Monteiro AC, Bloch Jr C, Melo FR, Oliveira-Neto OB, Monnerat RG, Grosside-Sa MF. Effects of soybean Kunitz trypsin inhibitor on the cotton boll weevil (*Anthonomus grandis*). Phytochemistry. 2004 Jan 1;65(1):81-9. Available:https://www.sciencedirect.com/sc

ience/article/abs/pii/S0031942203005740 12. Sudbrink Jr DL, Mack TP, Zehnder GW. Alternate host plants of cowpea curculio,

- (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in Alabama. Fla. Entomol. 1998;81(3):373–383. 13. Otálora-Luna F, Hammock JA, Alessandro
- RT., Lapointe SL, Dickens JC. Discovery and characterization of chemical signals for citrus root weevil, *Diaprepes abbreviatus*. Arthropod-Plant Interactions. 2009;3(2):63-73. DOI 10.1007/s11829-009-9058-7.
- 14. van Tol RWHM, Visser JH, Sabelis MW. Olfactory responses of the vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*, to tree odours. Physiol. Entomol. 2002;27(3):213-222. Available: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365- 3032.2002.00288.x.
- 15. López-Guillén G, Valdez-Carrasco J, Gómez Ruiz J, Martínez Zarate CJ, Cruz-López L. Sexual dimorphism and ratio of natural populations of *Rhyssomatus*

*nigerrimus* adults. Southwest. Entomol. 2016;41(3):837-844. Available:https://doi.org/10.3958/059.041.0

325.

- 16. Cruz-López L, Campuzano Granados AJ, Sánchez-Maldonado A, Meneses MG. Evidence of small avocado seed borer, *Conotrachelus perseae*, attraction to avocado fruit host and conspecifics. Southwest. Entomol. 2021; 45(4):971-978. Available:https://doi.org/10.3958/059.045.0 415.
- 17. López-Guillén G, Gómez-Ruiz J, Cruz-López L, Joyce A, Hernández- Baz F, Aragón-Robles E, Hernández Alaniz R. Phenological stages of a soybean crop affect the number of mating pairs and egg load in *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) females under natural conditions. Fla. Entomol. 2023; 106(3):149-153. Available:https://doi.org/10.1653/024.106.0 301
- 18. Heath RR, Manukian A. Development and evaluation of systems to collect volatile semiochemicals from insects and plants using a charcoal-infused medium for air purification. J. Chem. Ecol. 1992;18(7): 1209-1226. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00980
- 075. 19. González-Domínguez M, López-Guillén G, Cruz-López L. Volatiles from soybean flowers attract the Mexican soybean weevil, *Rhyssomatus nigerrimus*  (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 2024;59(2):91-101. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355- 023-00857-2.
- 20. Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. Biometry. Third edition. W. H. Freeman & Company, New Yok.1998;880.
- 21. R Development Core Team.. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2022. Available:https://www.r-project.org/ (accessed 27 July 2023).
- 22. Addesso KM, McAuslane HJ. Pepper weevil attraction to volatiles from host and nonhost plants. Environ. Entomol. 2009; 38(1):216-224. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570- 7458.2010.01070.x.
- 23. Braimah H, van Emden HF. Evidence for the presence of chemicals attractive to the banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus*

(Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in dead banana leaves. Bull. Entomol. Res. 1999;89(6):485-491. Available:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485 399000632.

- 24. Gunawardena NE, Swarnakanthi MNA. Behavioural and electrophysiological responses of the coconut pest, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to host, nonhost and alternate host plant volatiles. J. Natn. Sci. Foundation Sri Lanka. 1995;23(2):63-70. Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.4038/jnsfsr.v2 3i2.5841.
- 25. Butkewich SL, Prokopy RJ. Attraction of adult plum curculios (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to host-tree odor and visual stimuli in the field. J. Entomol. Sci. 1997;32(1):1-6.
- 26. Silva SEB, Auad AM, Moraes JC, Alvarenga R, Fonseca MG, Marques FA, Santos NCS, Nagata N. Olfactory response of *Mahanarva spectabilis* (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) to volatile organic compounds from forage grasses. Sci Rep. 2019;9:10284. Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-

019-46693-9.

- 27. Ukeh DA, Birkett MA, Bruce TJA, Allan EJ, Pickettc JA, Mordue Luntz AJ. Behavioural responses of the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais*, to host (stored-grain) and nonhost plant volatiles. Pest Manag Sci. 2009;66(1):44-50. DOI: 10.1002/ps.1828.
- 28. Ogunwande SA, Olawore NO, Adeleke KA, Ekundayo O, König WA. Rare terpenoid esters from *Hyparrhenia rufa* (Nees) Stapf. growing wild in Nigeria. Flavour Fragr. J. 2004;19(3):239-243.

DOI:10.1002/ffj.1295.

29. Ramirez-Medorio NJ, Hernández-Rosas F, Osorio-Acosta F, López-Collado J,<br>Figueroa-Rodríguez KA. Amante-Figueroa-Rodríguez KA, Orozco A. Chemical composition of volatiles from sugarcane leaves and pastures by gas chromatography. Rev. Mex. Cienc. Agríc. 2019;10(22): 129-138.

Available:https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca .v0i22.1864.

30. Bouwer MC, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rohwer ER. Chemical signatures affecting host choice in the *Eucalyptus* herbivore, *Gonipterus* sp. (Curculionidae: Coleoptera). Arth.-Plant Int. 2014;8(5):439- 451.

DOI 10.1007/s11829-014-9327-y.

- 31. Magalhães DM, Borges M, Laumann RA, Woodcock CM, Withall DM, Pickett JA, Birkett MA, Blassioli-Moraes MC. Identification of volatile compounds involved in host location by *Anthonomus grandis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Front. Ecol. Evol. 2018;6:98. DOI: 10.3389/fevo.2018.00098.
- 32. Szendrei Z, Malo E, Stelinski L, Rodriguez-Saona C. Response of cranberry weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to host plant volatiles. Environ. Entomol. 2009;38(3):861-869. Available:https://doi.org/10.1603/022.038.0 340.
- 33. Leskey TC, Hancock TJ, Wright SE. Hosttree-related differences in trap captures and electroantennogram activity of *Conotrachelus nenuphar* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Can. Entomol. 2010; 142(3):284-293. DOI:10.4039/n09-074.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

*© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3792>*