

Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting

Volume 24, Issue 4, Page 106-125, 2024; Article no.AJEBA.113293 ISSN: 2456-639X

# Effect of Adopting AI to Explore Big Data on Personally Identifiable Information (PII) for Financial and Economic Data Transformation

Samuel Oladiipo Olabanji <sup>a++</sup>, Oluseun Babatunde Oladoyinbo <sup>b#</sup>, Christopher Uzoma Asonze <sup>c†</sup>, Tunbosun Oyewale Oladoyinbo <sup>d‡</sup>, Samson Abidemi Ajayi <sup>e^</sup> and Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi <sup>f##\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO Energy), 720 City Center Drive, Carmel, Indiana, United States.

<sup>b</sup> Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Igboora, Nigeria.
 <sup>c</sup> Federal University of Technology Owerri, PMB 1526, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
 <sup>d</sup> University of Maryland Global Campus, 3501 University Blvd E, Adelphi, MD 20783, United States.
 <sup>e</sup> University of Ilorin, Nigeria, Opp Item 7 Candidate Hotel, Tanke Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.
 <sup>f</sup> University of the Cumberlands, 104 Maple Drive, Williamsburg, KY 40769, United States of America.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEBA/2024/v24i41268

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113293

> Received: 17/12/2023 Accepted: 22/02/2024 Published: 26/02/2024

**Original Research Article** 

Asian J. Econ. Busin. Acc., vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 106-125, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>++</sup> Senior Business Analyst;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Agricultural Researcher;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Cybersecurity and Information Governance Expert;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Principal, Cybersecurity Analyst, Researcher;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>^</sup> Chemist, Security Expert, Data Analyst;

<sup>##</sup> Information Technology Researcher;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: oolaniyi00983@ucumberlands.edu;

#### ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into big data analytics represents a pivotal shift in the management of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) within the financial sector. This study was prompted by the increasing reliance on AI for handling sensitive financial data and the consequent rise in data security concerns, exemplified by the 2019 Capital One data breach which compromised the PII of over 100 million individuals, highlighting the vulnerabilities inherent in digital data storage and management systems. Aiming to critically evaluate the effects of adopting AI in exploring big data on PII within the financial and economic sectors, the study focused on assessing how AI can transform data management processes, enhance data security, ensure compliance with regulatory requirements, and maintain data integrity. Employing a quantitative research methodology, data was gathered from 532 professionals in the financial sector through surveys distributed via LinkedIn. The hypotheses were tested using multiple regression analysis. The study's findings revealed that the adoption of AI in managing big data significantly enhances the security and privacy of PII in the financial sector. However, it also increases the risk of sophisticated cyberattacks such as adversarial attacks and data poisoning. Significantly, financial institutions that integrate AI into their data management systems demonstrate higher compliance with data protection regulations, and Al-driven cybersecurity strategies were found to markedly improve the performance of cybersecurity systems in the sector. Based on these insights, the study recommends best practices and guidelines for financial institutions to effectively integrate AI into their data management systems. These include prioritizing data security and privacy, ensuring regulatory compliance, investing in Al-driven cybersecurity, and managing the inherent risks of Al integration. The study advocates for a balanced approach in AI adoption, emphasizing the need for robust security measures, continuous monitoring, and adapting to the evolving regulatory and technological landscape.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence (AI); big data analytics; personally identifiable information (PII); financial sector; data security; regulatory compliance; cybersecurity risks; capital one data breach; GDPR; CCPA; AI-driven cybersecurity strategies.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into big data analytics has revolutionized the way institutions handle Personallv financial Identifiable Information (PII), largely due to the capacity of AI to process, analyze, and interpret vast datasets which has significant implications for financial and economic data transformation [1]. However, this integration presents complex challenges regarding data security, regulatory compliance, and data integrity. The dual-edged nature of AI in the context of PII in the financial sector underscores this study. On one hand, AI offers unprecedented efficiencies and insights. For example, Al-driven analytics can identify predict trends. market movements, and personalize customer services. Al technologies like Machine Learning (ML) and Natural Language Processing (NLP) are instrumental in these processes, enabling the handling of unstructured data and sophisticated predictive analyses [1]. On the other hand, the increasing reliance on AI for managing sensitive financial data raises substantial data security concerns. The 2019 Capital One data breach, which

compromised the PII of over 100 million individuals, exemplifies the vulnerabilities inherent in digital data storage and management systems [2] [3]. This breach brought to light the need for robust cybersecurity measures and highlighted the potential risks associated with the handling of PII. The incident also underscores importance of compliance with the data protection regulations like GDPR and CCPA as these laws mandate stringent safeguards for PII and impose heavy penalties for non-compliance. Al can aid in ensuring compliance, for instance, through automated monitoring of data usage and by aiding in the detection of unauthorized access or data breaches.

Moreover, the integration of AI in data management has led to new types of cybersecurity threats. AI systems themselves can be susceptible to unique attacks, such as adversarial attacks, data poisoning, and model theft [4]. These threats can manipulate AI algorithms, leading to incorrect outputs or the compromise of sensitive data. Additionally, as AI systems become more autonomous, issues around ethical AI use and the potential for bias in Al-driven decisions become prominent. The financial sector's response to these challenges has been multifaceted. On the technological front, advancements in Al, such as the development of more secure Al algorithms and enhanced encryption techniques, are ongoing. The Enhanced Encryption Standard (EES) and algorithms like the K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) are examples of innovations aimed at improving the security and integrity of financial data [5].

Following the 2019 Capital One data breach, it has since become vital that organizations reassure their stakeholders of the security of their personally identifiable information which is always at the disposal of these organizations. This incident underscores the ever-present vulnerabilities in the management and security of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) within the financial sector. Coupled with the rapid and advancement adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in big data analysis and the increasing complexity of cyber threats, there is a growing need to reassess and enhance data security, compliance, and integrity strategies in financial institutions [1,2].

As financial institutions increasingly rely on Artificial Intelligence (AI) to process and analyze large volumes of data, including Personally Identifiable Information (PII), the sector faces unprecedented challenges in ensuring data security, compliance with evolving regulations, and maintaining data integrity [1]. The 2019 Capital One data breach serves as a stark reminder of the potential risks and consequences associated with these challenges especially considering that the breach not only led to significant financial losses and reputational damage for the company but also raised serious concerns about the effectiveness of existing measures security in protecting sensitive customer data against sophisticated cyberattacks [2]. Furthermore, recent developments in AI, such as the use of AI for PII compliance and privacy, the introduction of data new cybersecurity risks by AI tools, the application of Al in security compliance for SaaS companies, and AI-based cybersecurity in financial sector management, have further complicated the landscape. These developments point to a critical gap in current approaches to data security and compliance in the financial sector. Therefore, it becomes imperative to investigate the impact of adopting AI in exploring big data on PII within the financial sector, with a focus on understanding how AI can both contribute to and

mitigate risks related to data security, compliance, and integrity [1].

From a policy and governance perspective, there is a growing recognition of the need for guidelines that balances the benefits of AI in financial data analysis with the imperative to protect PII and ensure regulatory compliance. Such a regulation would involve not only technological solutions but also organizational policies, employee training, and a culture of security awareness [6]. Thus, the aim of this study is to critically evaluate the effects of adopting Artificial Intelligence (AI) for exploring big data on Personally Identifiable Information (PII) within the financial and economic sectors, how AI can transform assessing data management processes, enhance data security, ensure compliance with regulatory requirements, and maintain data integrity, and thereafter recommend best practices and guidelines for leveraging AI's potential to transform financial and economic data management in a secure and compliant manner. To achieve this aim, the study sought to:

- Identify and examine the current applications of AI in managing PII within the financial sector, focusing on data analysis, risk assessment, compliance monitoring, and protection against cyber threats.
- 2. Evaluate the impact of AI on data security and privacy, analyzing the vulnerabilities exposed by breaches and the role of AI in both contributing to and mitigating these risks.
- 3. Assess the effectiveness of Al-driven strategies in ensuring regulatory compliance in the financial sector, exploring how Al can aid in adapting to the evolving regulatory landscape.
- 4. Recommend best practices and guidelines for financial institutions to effectively integrate AI into their data management systems, ensuring enhanced security, compliance, and integrity of PII.

#### Hypothesis:

 $H_1$ : The adoption of AI in managing big data significantly enhances the security and privacy of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) in the financial sector.

 $H_2$ : The use of AI in big data analysis in the financial sector increases the risk of

sophisticated cyber-attacks, including adversarial attacks and data poisoning.

 $H_3$ : Financial institutions that integrate AI into their data management systems demonstrate higher compliance with data protection regulations (such as GDPR and CCPA) compared to those that do not.

 $H_4$ : Al-driven cybersecurity strategies significantly improve the performance of cybersecurity systems in the financial sector.

#### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Evolution and Role of Al in Financial Data Management

Initially, AI in finance was primarily confined to rule-based expert systems that automated basic tasks [7]. Although these systems. while groundbreaking for their time, were limited by their inability to learn or adapt beyond their explicit programming. The emergence of machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP) marked a pivotal transition, enabling AI to analyze complex, unstructured data and learn from new information dynamically. This shift was not merely technological but also conceptual, redefining the scope and potential of AI in finance. Early applications of AI in finance included algorithmic trading and credit scoring systems, where AI's ability to process vast amounts of data at unprecedented speeds offered significant advantages over traditional methods [8,9]. However, these applications were often simplistic in their approach, focusing on numerical data and lacking the sophistication to handle the nuances of unstructured data or the complexity of human language and behavior.

However, in recent times, the role of AI in financial data management has expanded, of broader spectrum encompassing а applications. Modern AI systems in finance leverage sophisticated algorithms in ML and NLP to perform a wide range of functions, from fraud detection and risk management to customer service and personal financial planning [1]. These applications are characterized by their ability to handle large volumes of diverse data, from new information, learn and make predictions or decisions with a degree of autonomy. Studies and reports indicate that AI's role in data analysis within the financial sector is now pivotal. For instance, AI-driven analytics are used to identify trends in market data, predict stock performance, and provide personalized investment advice [9]. In risk assessment, AI

algorithms are employed to evaluate the creditworthiness of borrowers, assess the risk levels of investments, and detect fraudulent activities [11,12]. The predictive capabilities of AI, based on historical data patterns and real-time analysis, have become instrumental in these areas.

Furthermore, AI's role in compliance monitoring is increasingly significant, especially given the complex regulatory environment in finance. Al systems are capable of monitoring transactions for suspicious activities, ensuring compliance with anti-money laundering (AML) and know your customer (KYC) regulations [8,10]. The adaptability of AI in responding to regulatory changes and its ability to manage and analyze large datasets make it an invaluable tool for ensuring compliance.

Doppalapudi et al. [13], affirms that machine learning (ML) in transaction monitoring shows a significant shift in the banking sector towards adopting ML solutions, driven by the need to improve AML programs and the support from U.S. regulators for innovative approaches to combat financial crimes. More than 80% of major North American banks have started adopting ML solutions for AML, with a focus on integrating ML with advanced algorithms like random forest and deep learning for transaction monitoring, considering that these AI-driven systems are capable of identifying suspicious activities more accurately than traditional rule-based systems, resulting in up to 40% improvement in identifying suspicious activities and a 30% increase in efficiency [14,15]. The transition to ML models in transaction monitoring includes considerations on the appropriate application scenarios for ML, the necessity of quality data, and ensuring explainability of these AI models for regulatory compliance [8].

Denittis [14] outlines case study involving Datametica, a data analytics company, illustrates how AI's application significantly improves efficiency and accuracy, recounting how the company used a machine learning model with deep learning capabilities to automate the verification of KYC applications and associated data. This approach involved an OCR deep learning image processing model for data extraction and an integrated data pipeline for cross-verifying application information against KYC documents. The results were substantial, with a 75% reduction in operational costs, a 66% faster KYC application processing time, and an 85% accuracy in the automated verification process.

Another example involves Snorkel AI, a company that utilized its programmatic labeling platform, Snorkel Flow, for a top U.S. bank. The bank faced challenges with manual data extraction from 10-K reports, a time-consuming and laborintensive process. Snorkel Flow's machine learning platform accelerated labeling using weak supervision machine learning, significantly improving the efficiency of the KYC process. This resulted in saving 10,000 labor hours per year, equivalent to \$500,000 [14]. Additionally, Quantexa, a London-based software company, provided a solution for ABN-AMRO, a Dutch multinational bank. They used a Contextual Decision Intelligence (CDI) platform to automate financial crime investigations and reduce operational costs. This solution combined internal and external data sources, enabling the bank to group companies into hierarchies and gain insight into their relationships. The platform provided a GUI for identified networks and highlighted risk areas, thus streamlining the process of detecting and investigating financial crimes [14,16].

## 2.2 Data Security and AI in Financial Sector

In the financial sector, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) brings forth several data security challenges. Key among these is the issue of data quality and bias. The accuracy and integrity of data are crucial for AI systems, especially in decisions finance where have significant implications [5]. Poor data quality can lead to decisions, flawed AI predictions and exacerbating risks. Besides, biases in Al algorithms, often arising from historical discrimination or uneven representation in data, can lead to unfair and discriminatory outcomes [17]. Financial institutions must rigorously test and monitor their AI systems to mitigate these biases, ensuring fairness and transparency, which is essential not just ethically but also for compliance purposes.

Legal and ethical considerations are another vital aspect, as AI deployments in finance are subject to stringent regulations like the GDPR and CCPA [18,19]. Financial institutions must navigate these regulations carefully to avoid legal pitfalls while maintaining their reputation. These regulations demand strict requirements on how customer data is collected, processed, and shared, posing a significant challenge for AI implementation in a highly regulated environment.

Cybersecurity risks present a formidable challenge as well. The advent of generative AI (GenAI) has altered the cybersecurity landscape, introducing new threats such as AI-powered phishing attacks and manipulation of financial transactions. Financial institutions must employ AI and ML for real-time monitoring of systems and user behavior to identify and respond to these emerging threats [20,21]. Effective training and awareness programs for employees are also crucial in recognizing and mitigating AIgenerated threats.

The financial sector has faced several significant data breaches, such as the 2019 Capital One incident, highlighting the vulnerabilities in digital data management systems. These incidents underscore the need for robust cybersecurity measures and the potential risks associated with handling PII [2].

In response to these challenges, financial institutions are increasingly adopting AI-based cybersecurity strategies. For instance, a study in the field of AI-based Cyber Security Financial Sector Management (CS-FSM) presents an approach that uses algorithms like Enhanced Encryption Standard (EES) and K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) for securing financial sector information [5]. This approach aims to classify and solve cyberattack problems effectively, thereby enhancing the defense against such attacks.

Moreover, financial services companies face compliance challenges with constantly changing regulations. They also must ensure cloud security, as a significant amount of corporate data is stored in cloud environments [1]. Mobile security is another concern, with customers increasingly accessing financial services through mobile devices. Additionally, supply chain security, social engineering tactics, and the use of third-party vendors present further challenges [22,23]. In the era of IoT, securing devices and the data they collect has become a significant concern. Notably, cryptocurrency and blockchain technologies are particularly vulnerable to attacks, underscoring the need for enhanced security measures in these areas.

To overcome these challenges, financial services companies are advised to engage in preplanning for data breaches, implement comprehensive data security strategies, invest in employee education and training, and apply robust security controls [22]. Regular security assessments and the use of multi-factor authentication are recommended practices. Furthermore, managing third-party vendor risks and regularly reviewing policies and procedures are essential steps. The use of AI and machine learning technologies can significantly aid in detecting and responding to security threats, thus enhancing data security in the financial sector [22,24].

#### 2.3 Al and Regulatory Compliance

and The adoption. modes of operation. integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in financial institutions has been significantly influenced by regulations like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), as these regulations have shaped the way AI is deployed and used, data particularly concerning privacy and protection [25,18]. The GDPR, which focuses on the protection of personal data within the European Union, has considerable а impact on AI usage in financial institutions. One of its main requirements is the need for organizations to provide explanations when automated processes like AI or ML make decisions based on personal data. This requirement has led to debates and challenges, particularly around the "explainability" of AI decisions. For instance, AI systems, especially those using deep learning, are often seen as "black boxes" where even their creators cannot fully explain how specific decisions or predictions are made. This characteristic of AI poses with challenges in complying GDPR's requirements for transparency and explainability [25,26].

The CCPA, on the other hand, also impacts Al and ML, particularly concerning data that is collected from consumers and additional data created through inferences by Al systems. The act requires that businesses disclose not just the inferred data about a customer's preferences but also all the personal data used to come to that conclusion [3]. This can be challenging, especially when businesses use third-party data to create user profiles or rely on Al for decisionmaking. The complexity and cumbersomeness of complying with these data privacy requirements have led many businesses to turn to third-party experts for managing and analyzing their data [25,18].

In practical terms, financial institutions are increasingly implementing AI systems that are more explainable and transparent to comply with these regulations. This has led to the emergence of AI models that are less opaque than traditional ones, though the majority of organizations are still reluctant until there is more clarity on AI explainability requirements [25,27]. Moreover, the GDPR and CCPA have emphasized the need for financial institutions to adopt privacy-by-This approach involves desian practices. processing the minimum amount of data necessary, ensuring a clear processing purpose, being transparent with users, and conducting thorough data protection risk assessments. These steps are crucial in using AI without violating data protection laws [28,29]. Moreso, are concerns about the broader there impacts of these regulations. For instance, the enforcement of GDPR has raised questions about biased privacy enforcement and the potential for its provisions to be used against marginalized groups or small entities with limited resources. This highlights the need for a balanced approach in regulatory enforcement to protect against discretionary and potentially discriminatory targeting harms [30].

#### 2.4 Cybersecurity Threats and AI

One of the emerging threats is AI-powered disinformation campaigns which are particularly concerning in the context of significant global events like elections and major sports events, where they can manipulate public opinion and undermine the integrity of these events. Another form of threat emanates from targeting enterprise AI deployments, where cybercriminals exploit vulnerabilities in AI systems, such as custom generative pre-trained transformers (GPTs), through prompt injection attacks. These attacks can expose sensitive information or lead to model misuse [31].

Simultaneously, AI has become a critical tool in enhancing cybersecurity measures. Al's role in cybersecurity is transformative, especially in threat detection and prevention. By integrating AI cybersecurity practices, financial into organizations can elevate threat detection and prevention standards, allowing for the processing of massive volumes of data in near real-time. This enables the swift identification of and response to emerging threats. thereby Al-driven minimizina potential damages. response systems facilitate rapid identification of anomalies and deviations from established norms, which is crucial in thwarting attacks in their early stages. Moreover, AI is instrumental in threat intelligence, enhancing an organization's ability to effectively identify, analyze, and respond to emerging cyber threats. AI techniques, such as natural language processing (NLP), are used to extract valuable information from unstructured data sources like news articles, blogs, and social media, contributing to a better understanding of human-generated threat intelligence content [32,33].

Al also plays a crucial role in identifying zero-day vulnerabilities by analyzing code and software behavior, which is essential for the early detection of potential security breaches. A notable example is the approach adopted by the cybersecurity firm Cylance which utilizes AI not for detecting viruses and malware after the fact but for preventing them by identifying suspicious behavior in systems. This method is particularly effective in detecting zero-day vulnerabilities, as it allows for proactive threat detection and response, giving security teams more time to develop solutions and prevent breaches before they occur. This shift towards Al-driven security represents significant advancement а in cybersecurity, illustrating how AI can complement traditional methods to create more robust and effective defense systems against evolving cyber threats [34,35].

Furthermore, AI's role in predictive analysis for cybersecurity is increasingly vital, with real-world applications demonstrating its effectiveness. One significant use case is the development of AIpowered behavioral analysis, as exemplified by companies like CrowdStrike. This approach involves observing activities within a system to discern normal behavior from anomalous or atypical activity, thereby identifying potential threats. Traditional methods, which often rely on predefined rules or signature-based detection, struggle against new, unseen cyberattacks like zero-day exploits. In contrast, AI-powered behavioral analysis, like CrowdStrike's indicators of attack (IOAs), applies advanced analytics and expert-generated intelligence to trillions of data points, enabling the detection of subtle signs of adversary behavior and even previously unseen threats [36,37]. Another example of AI's impact in predictive analysis is seen in the continuous evolution of AI models at BlackBerry Cylance. approach has moved from solely Their supervised human-labeling to a composite training approach, encompassing unsupervised,

supervised, and active learning. These models are trained to account for temporal resilience, effectively predicting and blocking malware before execution. BlackBerry Cylance's AI model has shown significant predictive advantage, effectively detecting and blocking new malware classes for extended periods without requiring frequent updates. This maturity in AI modeling illustrates its potential in predicting and preventing future evasive threats [38,39].

#### 2.5 Ethical Considerations and Al Bias

The ethical implications of using AI in financial data management are multifaceted. One key issue is the impact on employment, as the adoption of AI might lead to job displacement. Striking a balance between leveraging AI for efficiency and ensuring job security is crucial. Financial institutions must also navigate a complex regulatory landscape, complying with laws like the GDPR and CCPA, which demand strict data handling requirements [25]. Ethical frameworks are essential to guide decisions on workforce transitions, and there's a need for upskilling programs to adapt to evolving industry needs. Transparency in AI systems is another critical factor. Institutions need to communicate clearly how AI is used in decision-making processes, disclose data sources, and be transparent about the limitations of AI models to build trust with stakeholders.

Bias in AI algorithms also constitutes a significant concern, particularly in areas like credit scoring investment recommendations and [11]. Algorithmic bias can arise from historical discrimination or the underrepresentation of certain groups in training data, leading to discriminatory outcomes and impacting data integrity and decision-making [17,40]. Financial institutions must actively address potential biases through rigorous testing, monitoring, and implementing techniques such as resampling, reweighting, or algorithmic fairness constraints [41,42]. Ensuring the absence of bias is not only ethically essential but also crucial for compliance purposes.

#### 2.6 Innovations and Advances in AI for Data Security

Recent technological advancements in AI have significantly enhanced data security and integrity, particularly in the financial sector. These advancements include sophisticated algorithms and enhanced encryption techniques

that are crucial in protecting sensitive financial data. One of the key advancements is in the realm of Al-powered behavioral analysis, which has been developed by companies like Google and Microsoft. This approach involves using AI to understand and monitor system activities, identifying patterns and behaviors that established deviate from norms to flag potential security threats. Google, for example, has open-sourced frameworks to automate manual aspects of fuzzing, allowing researchers to test the effectiveness of AI in detecting vulnerabilities in software. Microsoft, on the other hand, is integrating AI capabilities into its security operations, enabling security teams to respond to cyberthreats at machine speed and anticipate attacker moves in advance [43.44].

Another significant development is the use of AI in enhancing cloud security, a critical aspect for financial institutions leveraging cloud computing. Microsoft's Security Copilot, for instance. aggregates signals across various platforms, offering a unified view of security data and enabling real-time guidance and response to This development is particularly threats. important given the expanding enterprise attack surfaces, with remote work and increased network-connected devices presenting new security challenges [45]. The adoption of 5G networks also introduces new vulnerabilities and a larger attack surface. Al's capability to adaptively learn and detect novel patterns can accelerate the detection, containment, and response to these emerging challenges, thereby easing the burden on security analysts [46,47].

#### 2.7 Comparative Analysis and Global Perspectives

From a practical standpoint, financial institutions globally are leveraging AI for various functions. In finance planning and performance management, AI is used for tasks such as variance analysis and report generation [48]. Investor relations teams are also utilizing AI to prepare for earnings calls and respond to investor inquiries. These applications demonstrate the growing reliance on AI for enhancing efficiency and decision-making in financial data management. However, there are challenges in adopting AI, such as the need for robust data governance, the complexity of AI models, and the importance of ensuring data privacy and security [3]. Thus, it is noteworthy that the global adoption of AI in financial data management varies significantly across different regions, reflecting diverse regulatory landscapes, technological advancements, and strategic priorities [49,50]. Financial institutions must navigate these challenges within the context of their regional regulatory environments, which can significantly influence their Al strategies.

#### 2.8 United States

In the context of managing Big Data on Personally Identifiable Information (PII) for financial and economic data transformation in the United States, the focus on localized and specific regulatory frameworks is particularly relevant. The use of AI in processing and analyzing large volumes of financial data, including PII, underscores the need for robust data security, compliance, and integrity measures [1,3]. The state-specific laws, like Illinois' Artificial Intelligence Video Interview Act, reflect a growing recognition of the unique challenges posed by AI in managing sensitive information such as PII [51,52]. This law, focusing on Al's use in employment contexts, highlights the critical importance of regulating how AI accesses, analyzes, and stores PII. It addresses concerns about privacy, bias, and transparency that are central to the responsible use of AI in financial data management.

These state-level initiatives in the U.S. indicate a trend towards creating regulatory environments that are sensitive to the unique contexts in which Al operates. This approach is vital in financial sectors where PII is heavily involved, as it ensures that regulations are directly addressing specific risks and challenges of AI the applications in these areas. However, this fragmented approach could lead to inconsistencies in compliance and operational challenges for financial institutions operating across multiple states. Each state may have different standards and requirements for how AI should handle PII, complicating efforts to create data management and protection unified while strategies. Therefore. state-specific regulations offer the advantage of targeted approaches to AI governance, they also necessitate a strategic consideration of how these varying regulations can be harmoniously integrated into broader data management frameworks. This integration is crucial for ensuring the security, compliance, and integrity of PII in the context of financial and economic data transformation [53,54].

#### 2.9 United Kingdom

The United Kingdom's approach to AI regulation in financial services, particularly concerning the management of Big Data on Personally Identifiable Information (PII), reflects a nuanced and evolving stance. The UK's regulatory bodies, including the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA), adopt a technology-neutral approach, focusing on existing legal frameworks to address the challenges posed by AI. The UK's approach is characterized by its "pro-innovation" stance, favoring a principles-based framework over Al-specific legislation. prescriptive. This approach aims to foster innovation while ensuring that AI applications align with broader regulatory objectives related to consumer protection, competition, and market integrity. The FCA and PRA have emphasized the importance of understanding AI's potential risks and benefits, encouraging stakeholder engagement to shape future regulatory direction [55].

Key areas of focus in the UK's AI regulation in financial services include consumer protection, bias and vulnerability, information security, and individual accountability. For instance, the FCA's Consumer Duty addresses potential harms to consumers arising from AI use, such as biased decision-making or financial exclusion due to algorithmic errors. This is particularly relevant when AI systems handle sensitive PII, where incorrect processing could lead to significant privacy violations and breaches of trust. The risk of AI perpetuating existing biases in PII data is also a critical concern. Historical biases in training datasets can result in discriminatory AI outcomes. Financial services firms in the UK are required to address such biases, aligning with the Equality Act 2010 and FCA rules. This includes ensuring fair treatment of vulnerable customers and those with protected characteristics, underlining the intersection of AI technology with broader ethical and legal considerations [56,57].

Another significant aspect is information security. The increasing use of AI in managing large volumes of PII data elevates concerns around data privacy and cybersecurity. The UK Information Commissioner's Office provides guidance on AI and data protection, highlighting the need for robust data handling practices in AI applications. Finally, the UK's regulatory framework places a strong emphasis on accountability, especially under the Senior

Managers & Certification Regime (SM&CR). Senior managers in financial institutions are responsible for ensuring that AI systems, particularly those handling PII, are governed effectively and comply with existing regulatory standards [55,58].

#### 2.10 European Union

The European Union's forthcoming Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act), set to be effective from Spring 2024, is poised to significantly impact the financial services industry, especially in the management of Big Data on Personally Identifiable Information (PII) [59]. This Act represents a comprehensive and pioneering approach to regulate AI technologies across various sectors, including financial services. The Al Act adopts a risk-adjusted approach to classify Al applications into four categories based on the level of risk they pose to users: unacceptable risk, high risk, limited risk, and minimal risk. This determines the categorization degree of regulation each AI application will be subject to. The Act aims to protect European citizens against AI misuse, guarantee transparency and trust, and catalyze innovation without sidelining safety and privacy [60,62]. This holistic approach seeks to balance fostering AI adoption with mitigating technology-induced risks.

One of the key implications for the financial sector is the regulation of high-risk AI systems, such as those used in credit scoring. The Act mandates that these systems must comply with stringent requirements around data quality, transparency, and governance. Financial institutions will need to adjust their AI systems to align with the Act's directives, emphasizing the necessity of transparent, interpretable AI models, and the use of unbiased, high-quality data. Non-compliance could result in significant financial penalties.

However, the Act is not without challenges. Its enforcement requires precise monitoring and continuous review, and there may be potential inflexibility and exceptions. Classifying AI systems according to specific risk levels is complex and may create difficulties in practical application. The success of the AI Act will rely heavily on global regulatory harmonization, encouraging a global AI network secured in shared ethical principles. For the financial sector, this Act is more than just a compliance requirement; it represents an opportunity to redefine the ethos of financial services in the age of AI. Institutions must proactively evaluate their AI systems, particularly those prone to high-risk scenarios under the Act, and conduct comprehensive gap analyses against the Act's requirements [62].

#### 3. METHODS

The study adopts a quantitative study design, leveraging a survey strategy to gather data. Data was collected via questionnaires designed to information the experiences. elicit on perceptions, and attitudes of professionals regarding the use of AI in handling PII. The questionnaires were distributed through professional platforms on social media, including LinkedIn, targeting a diverse aroup of professionals in the financial sector. The target questions based on the hypotheses were designed following a likert scale format. The respondents totaled 532 and included financial analysts, data scientists, risk managers and personnel, compliance officers, and other professionals relevant to the study's focus. These participants were identified and selected using purposive sampling, a non-probability sampling technique. This method was chosen as it allows the researchers to use their discretion and expertise to select respondents who are particularly knowledgeable about or experienced in AI and PII management within the financial sector. The selection criteria were based on professional roles and expertise related to AI, data security, and financial data management. Data collected through the questionnaires will be analyzed using statistical software. Multiple regression analysis were used to test the of the study, examining hypothesis the relationships between variables as related to AI, PII management, data security, and compliance. The study adheres to ethical research standards, ensuring confidentiality and anonymity for all participants. Respondents were informed about the purpose of the research, the nature of their participation, and their right to withdraw at any time without any consequences.

#### 4. FINDINGS

**Hypothesis 1:** The adoption of AI in managing big data significantly enhances the security and privacy of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) in the financial sector.

**Dependent Variable:** Perceived Security and Privacy of PII

**Independent Variables:** Extent of AI Integration, Usage of AI for Compliance, Implementation of AI-driven Cybersecurity, and Technological Proficiency.

The coefficient for the extent of AI integration was found to be 0.8, with a standard error of 0.2. This positive coefficient suggests a significant relationship between the extent of AI integration and the enhancement of perceived security and privacy of PII. The statistical significance of this relationship was underscored by a t-statistic of 3.9 and a p-value of less than 0.001, indicating that the result is unlikely to be due to chance. Similarly, the usage of AI for compliance purposes showed а positive impact. with a coefficient of 1.2 and a standard error of 0.3. The t-statistic for this predictor was 4.0, and the p-value was less than 0.001, affirming statistical significance. its This findina highlights the crucial role that AI plays in enhancing compliance measures, which in turn contributes to the security and privacy of PII. The implementation of AI-driven cybersecurity measures emerged as а highly significant predictor, with the highest coefficient among the variables at 1.5 and a standard error of 0.4. The corresponding tstatistic was 3.8, and the p-value was less than 0.001. This indicates a positive effect of Al-driven cybersecurity on the perceived security and privacy of PII, emphasizing the importance of these technologies in safeguarding sensitive data.

Table 1. security and privacy of	personally identifiable information	(PII) in the financial sector
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Predictor	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-Value
Extent of AI Integration	0.8	0.2	3.9	<0.001
Usage of AI for compliance	1.2	0.3	4.0	<0.001
Implementation of AI - driven cybersecurity	1.5	0.4	3.8	<0.001
Technological Proficiency	0.6	0.1	5.2	<0.001

Lastly. technological proficiency among professionals in the financial sector was found to be positively correlated with the perceived security and privacy of PII. The coefficient for this variable was 0.6, with a standard error of 0.1, and the t-statistic stood at 5.2, coupled with a pvalue of less than 0.001. This result reflects that a higher understanding and skillful handling of AI technologies contribute significantly to enhancing data security and privacy. Overall, the analysis strongly supports Hypothesis 1. It demonstrates that the adoption of AI in the financial sector is significantly associated with enhanced security and privacy of PII. Each of the predictors - extent of AI integration, usage of AI for compliance, implementation of Al-driven cybersecurity, and technological proficiency - played a significant role in this enhancement, as evidenced by their positive coefficients and the statistical significance of their impact. This findina underscores the critical role of AI in transforming data security and privacy practices in the financial sector.

**Hypothesis 2:** The use of AI in big data analysis in the financial sector increases the risk of sophisticated cyber-attacks, including adversarial attacks and data poisoning.

**Dependent Variable:** Perceived Risk of Cyber-Attacks:

**Independent Variables:** Extent of AI Usage in Big Data Analysis, Frequency of AI-based Cybersecurity Measures, and Level of Awareness and Training on AI Security

The analysis revealed that the extent of AI usage in big data analysis is positively correlated with the perceived risk of sophisticated cyber-attacks. This is evidenced by a coefficient of 1.2 and a standard error of 0.3. The statistical strength of this relationship is further highlighted by a tstatistic of 3.9 and a p-value of less than 0.001. This finding suggests that as financial institutions increase their reliance on AI for big data analysis, they perceive a heightened risk of advanced cyber threats. Additionally, the frequency of AIbased cybersecurity measures also showed a significant positive association with the perceived risk of cyber-attacks. The coefficient for this predictor was 1.5, with a standard error of 0.4, accompanied by a t-statistic of 3.8 and a p-value of less than 0.001. This outcome may indicate that an increased focus on Al-based cybersecurity measures is a response to the perceived higher risk of sophisticated cyberattacks in environments where Al is extensively used.

The level of awareness and training on AI security was also found to be positively correlated with the perceived risk of cyberattacks. With a coefficient of 0.7 and a standard error of 0.2, this predictor's impact was confirmed by a t-statistic of 3.5 and a p-value of less than 0.001. This result reflects the understanding that higher awareness and training regarding AI security issues possibly increase the recognition of potential cyber threats. Thus, the results support Hypothesis 2, indicating that with the increased use of AI in big data analysis in the financial sector, there is a corresponding increase in the perceived risk of sophisticated cyber-attacks. This relationship is evidenced by the positive coefficients and the statistical significance of all the independent variables - the extent of AI usage in big data analysis, the frequency of AI-based cybersecurity measures, and the level of awareness and training on AI security. The findings underscore the need for enhanced focus on cybersecurity strategies as financial institutions continue to integrate AI into their data analysis processes.

**Hypothesis 3:** Financial institutions that integrate AI into their data management systems demonstrate higher compliance with data protection regulations (such as GDPR and CCPA) compared to those that do not.

**Dependent Variable:** Level of Regulatory Compliance

**Independent Variable:** Degree of AI Integration in Data Management, Utilization of AI for Compliance Monitoring, and Implementation of AI-driven Strategies for Regulatory Compliance

### Table 2. Al-based Cybersecurity Measures, and Level of Awareness and Training on AlSecurity

Predictor	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-Value
Extent of AI Usage in Big Data Analysis	1.2	0.3	3.9	<0.001
Frequency of Al-based Cybersecurity Measures	1.5	04	3.8	<0.001
Level of Awareness and Training on Al Security	0.7	0.2	3.5	<0.001

Predictor	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-Value
Degree of AI Integration in Data	1.2	0.2	6.1	<0.001
Management				
Utilization of AI for Compliance	1.8	0.3	5.7	<0.001
Monitoring				
Implementation of AI-driven Strategies	1.5	0.4	4.0	<0.001
for Regulatory Compliance				

 Table 3. Utilization of AI for Compliance Monitoring, and Implementation of AI-driven

 Strategies for Regulatory Compliance

This analysis focused on understanding the impact of the degree of AI integration in data management, the utilization of AI for compliance monitoring, and the implementation of Al-driven strategies for regulatory compliance on the level of regulatory compliance. The findings indicate a strong positive correlation between the degree of Al integration in data management and the level of regulatory compliance. The coefficient for this variable stood at 1.2, with a standard error of 0.2. This substantial effect is further underscored by a high t-statistic of 6.1 and a p-value of less than 0.001. The implication is that financial institutions with a higher degree of AI integration in their data management systems tend to have higher compliance with data protection regulations. Furthermore, the utilization of AI for compliance monitoring emerged as a significant predictor of regulatory compliance. With a coefficient of 1.8, the highest among the predictors, and a standard error of 0.3, the relationship is marked as statistically significant, as evidenced by a tstatistic of 5.7 and a p-value of less than 0.001. This suggests that actively using AI tools for monitoring compliance is strongly associated with enhanced adherence to data protection regulations.

The implementation of AI-driven strategies for regulatory compliance also showed a positive relationship with the level of regulatory compliance. This predictor had a coefficient of 1.5 and a standard error of 0.4, along with a tstatistic of 4.0 and a p-value of less than 0.001. The result implies that the integration of Al-driven strategies specifically aimed at regulatory compliance significantly contributes to higher compliance levels. Therefore, the results strongly support Hypothesis 3, indicating that the integration of AI into data management systems in financial institutions is positively associated with increased compliance with data protection regulations. The statistical significance of all the independent variables - degree of AI integration in data management, utilization of AI for compliance monitoring, and implementation of

Al-driven strategies for regulatory compliance – points to the crucial role of Al in enhancing regulatory compliance in the financial sector. The findings emphasize the importance of Al as a tool not just for data management efficiency but also as a key enabler of compliance with increasingly complex data protection regulations.

**Hypothesis 4:** Al-driven cybersecurity strategies significantly improve the performance of cybersecurity systems in the financial sector.

**Dependent Variable:** Performance of Cybersecurity Systems

**Independent Variable:** Implementation of Aldriven Cybersecurity Strategies, Utilization of Al for Threat Detection and Response, and Level of Investment in Al-based Cybersecurity Technologies.

The regression analysis for hypothesis 4 involved three independent variables: the implementation of Al-driven cvbersecuritv strategies. the utilization of AI for threat detection and response. and the level of investment in Al-based cybersecurity technologies. The coefficient for the implementation of AI-driven cybersecurity strategies was 1.5, with a standard error of 0.3. This indicates a strong positive influence of AIdriven cybersecurity strategies on the performance of cybersecurity systems. The tstatistic for this predictor was 5.0, and the pvalue was less than 0.001, underscoring the statistical significance of this relationship. In addition, the utilization of AI for threat detection and response also showed a significant positive impact. The coefficient here was 1.3, accompanied by a standard error of 0.2, demonstrating a strong association. The high tstatistic of 6.0 and a p-value of less than 0.001 further affirm the robustness of this result. This suggests that the use of AI technologies for identifying and responding to cybersecurity closelv linked to enhanced threats is performance of cybersecurity systems.

 
 Table 4. Utilization of AI for Threat Detection and Response, and Level of Investment in AIbased Cybersecurity Technologies

Predictor	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-Value
Implementation of AI-driven	1.5	0.3	5.0	<0.001
Cybersecurity Strategies				
Utilization of AI for Threat Detection	1.3	0.2	6.0	<0.001
and Response				
Level of Investment in AI-based	0.9	0.2	4.5	<0.001
Cybersecurity Technologies				

Lastly, the level of investment in AI-based cybersecurity technologies had a coefficient of 0.9 with a standard error of 0.2. The t-statistic for this variable was 4.5, and the p-value was less than 0.001, indicating a significant positive relationship. This reveals that increased cybersecurity investment in Al-based technologies is associated with improved cybersecurity system performance, thus, the results strongly support Hypothesis 4, indicating that Al-driven cybersecurity strategies contribute significantly to the improvement of cybersecurity systems in the financial sector. The analysis showed that all three independent variables - the implementation of Al-driven cybersecurity strategies, the utilization of AI for threat detection and response, and the level of investment in Albased cybersecurity technologies - have a positive and statistically significant impact on the performance of cybersecurity systems. This highlights the critical role of AI in enhancing and effectiveness the capabilities of cybersecurity measures within the financial industry.

#### 5. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The significant coefficient for the extent of AI integration (0.8) suggests that as financial institutions deepen their integration of AI in data management, there is a corresponding increase in the perceived security and privacy of PII. This is consistent with the findings of Denittis [14], who demonstrated the efficiency of AI in automating KYC processes, leading to increased accuracy and security. The results also align with the assertions in [1] about AI's ability to manage and interpret large datasets, which is crucial for enhancing data security and privacy. The positive impact of using AI for compliance (coefficient 1.2) supports the notion that AI technologies are instrumental in ensuring regulatory compliance, which is intrinsically linked to PII security. This finding corroborates with Kunwar [8] and Gupta et al. [10], which highlights Al's capability in monitoring

transactions and ensuring adherence to regulations like AML and KYC. This use of AI in compliance aligns with GDPR and CCPA mandates, reinforcing data protection. The highest coefficient among the variables was for the implementation of Al-driven cybersecurity (1.5), indicating its significant role in protecting PII. This finding is in line with the advancements in Al-based cybersecurity strategies highlighted in [5], suggesting that innovative AI algorithms and encryption techniques are key to safeguarding financial data. Finally, the positive technological correlation of proficiency (coefficient 0.6) with PII security and privacy echoes the importance of skillful AI handling and understanding in the financial sector. This finding resonates with the broader discussions in the literature about the necessity of technological expertise for effective AI implementation in data security [22,24]. These results are in harmony with existing research, such as the work by Doppalapudi et al. [13], which highlighted the shift towards AI and ML solutions in the banking sector. Our findings extend this perspective by quantitatively demonstrating the perceived improvements in PII security and privacy with AI adoption.

Also, the significant coefficient for the extent of Al usage in big data analysis (1.2) aligns with the emerging narrative in the literature about the vulnerabilities introduced by AI technologies. This finding echoes concerns raised in studies like Ferguson [31], which discuss the susceptibility of AI systems to novel cyberprompt attacks. including injection and adversarial attacks. The positive correlation suggests that as financial institutions increasingly rely on AI for data analysis, they become more potentially exposed aware of. and to. sophisticated cvber threats. The positive association between the frequency of AI-based cybersecurity measures (coefficient 1.5) and the perceived risk of cyber-attacks might seem counterintuitive initially. However, it can be interpreted as an indicator of the evolving threat landscape. As AI systems become more prevalent, financial institutions may recognize the need for more sophisticated cybersecurity measures, acknowledging the increased risk profile. This is in line with the insights provided by Stanham [36], which highlight the complexities and challenges introduced by AI in the cybersecurity domain. The positive correlation of the level of awareness and training on AI security the perceived risk of cyber-attacks with underscores the critical role of education and cybersecurity. awareness in As financial professionals become more knowledgeable about AI security, they may become more adept at identifying potential risks. This finding supports the argument for comprehensive AI security training programs as emphasized in [46], which can lead to a better understanding and mitigation of Al-related cybersecurity risks. This hypothesis's findinas contrast with the general optimism about AI's role in enhancing data security, as discussed in Hypothesis 1. It resonates with the growing body of literature that cautions against the unanticipated consequences of AI deployment in sensitive sectors like finance. For instance, the work highlighted in [32] discusses the potential of AI to threat detection. enhance while also acknowledging the new types of threats AI itself might introduce.

Furthermore, the substantial positive coefficient (1.2) for the degree of AI integration in data management systems indicates a strong correlation between AI adoption and improved compliance with data protection regulations. This resonates with the observations made in [25,18], which discuss how AI's ability to automate and monitor data processes can facilitate adherence to intricate regulations like GDPR and CCPA. The AI-enabled systems' capability to efficiently process large volumes of data while maintaining compliance standards seems to be a driving factor here. The significant positive impact of utilizing AI for compliance monitoring is particularly noteworthy. It suggests that AI tools are not just auxiliary supports but are central to the process of ensuring regulatory compliance. This finding echoes the literature [8,10] that highlights the role of AI in transaction monitoring and adherence to AML and KYC regulations, showcasing AI's ability to enhance the precision and effectiveness of compliance efforts. In addition, the positive relationship between the implementation of AI-driven strategies for regulatory compliance (coefficient 1.5) and higher levels of compliance underlines the

strategic role AI plays in this context. This finding is in line with the broader discussions on the necessity of evolving AI technologies to the ever-changing regulatory meet requirements [28,29]. The integration of Al-driven strategies appears to be a crucial factor in not only meeting but also in staying ahead of regulatory curves. The findings contrast with traditional skepticism around AI's role in regulatory compliance due to concerns about the 'black box' nature of some AI models [25]. with more However, they align recent perspectives that view AI as an enabler of compliance, especially in dynamically regulated environments. These results also corroborate with studies like [13,14], which have documented successful AI implementations leading to improved compliance outcomes in the financial sector.

Finally, the enhancement of cybersecurity systems' performance with the implementation of Al-driven strategies is reflective of the broader industry trend towards leveraging AI for advanced security measures. This echoes the sentiment in recent cybersecurity literature, such as the work by Nikitin [34] which discusses the use of AI not just for detecting, but preemptively addressing cybersecurity threats. The findings align with this perspective, suggesting that AI's predictive capabilities are key in evolving from traditional reactive security measures to more proactive approaches. The positive relationship found between AI utilization for threat detection and the performance of cybersecurity systems supports the notion of AI as an integral tool in contemporary cybersecurity. This is in line with the advancements in Al-powered behavioral analysis as discussed by companies like [36]. These companies have CrowdStrike demonstrated how AI can discern normal system behavior from potentially malicious activities, enhancing the ability to detect and respond to threats that might elude traditional security mechanisms. implication The that higher Al-based cybersecurity investment in technologies leads to better system performance underlines the importance of not only adopting AI solutions but also committing substantial resources to their development and integration. This finding is consistent with the viewpoint presented in Deloitte's insights on (AI and cybersecurity) [46], which emphasize the necessity of investment in AI to handle the complexity increasing and volume of cybersecurity threats. While these findings affirm the positive impact of AI in cybersecurity, they also invite a comparison with studies cautioning about Al-generated cybersecurity threats. For instance, Ferguson [31] discusses Al-powered disinformation and Al vulnerabilities, suggesting a dual-edged nature of Al in cybersecurity. The contrast between the benefits highlighted in our study and the risks outlined in these works underscores the complex role of Al in cybersecurity.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

The findings underscore that AI significantly enhances the security and privacy of PII, offering sophisticated data analysis and predictive insights. However, this advancement comes with increased risks of sophisticated cyber-attacks, highlighting Al's dual-edged nature in data security. The research also reveals that AI integration in financial data management systems is closely associated with higher compliance with data protection regulations, demonstrating AI's pivotal role in adhering to evolving legal standards like GDPR and CCPA. Additionally, Al-driven cybersecurity strategies found to significantly improve were the performance of cybersecurity systems. showcasing AI's transformative potential in threat detection and management. In summary, while presents AI substantial opportunities for enhancing data security, privacy, and regulatory compliance in the financial sector, it also necessitates careful consideration of the associated risks and challenges. The study recommends a balanced approach, emphasizing the need for robust data security measures. compliance. and continuous regulatory evaluation of AI's impact on data management processes.

#### 7. RECOMMENDATION

In light of the findings from this study, it is evident that the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into data management systems within the financial sector can significantly transform how Personally Identifiable Information (PII) is handled, ensuring enhanced security, compliance, and integrity. Based on these insights, the study proposes the following recommendations for financial institutions looking to effectively integrate AI into their data Firstly, management practices. financial institutions must prioritize data security and privacy as they integrate AI into their systems. This involves not only the adoption of advanced Al-driven cybersecurity measures but also a commitment to continuous monitoring and updating of these systems to address evolving cyber threats. Institutions should adopt AI technologies that have robust security protocols and are capable of identifying and mitigating potential breaches proactively.

Secondly, compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR and CCPA is paramount. Financial institutions should leverage Al to automate and enhance compliance monitoring processes. AI systems should be designed to be transparent and explainable, ensuring that decisions made by these systems are understandable and accountable. This transparency is crucial not just for regulatory compliance but also for maintaining customer trust. Al systems should also be designed and deployed in a manner that avoids bias and ensures fairness, especially in decisions that impact customers. Financial institutions must be vigilant about the data used to train AI systems, ensuring it is representative and free from biases that could lead to discriminatory outcomes.

Investing in AI-driven cybersecurity strategies is essential for protecting against sophisticated cyber threats. Financial institutions should allocate resources towards AI solutions that specialize in threat detection and response. This investment should also include training and development programs for staff to ensure they are equipped to manage and respond to AIpowered security systems effectively.

In implementing AI, it is crucial to balance the benefits with potential risks. While AI can significantly enhance data management processes, it also introduces new vulnerabilities. Financial institutions should conduct regular risk assessments to evaluate the impact of AI technologies on their data security and compliance structures. This risk management should be an ongoing process, adapting to the evolving nature of AI technologies and the financial sector's regulatory environment.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Silva P, Gonçalves C, Antunes N, Curado M, Walek B. Privacy risk assessment and

privacy-preserving data monitoring," Expert Systems with Applications. Mar. 2022;200: 116867.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.20 22.116867

- Silver C. Capital one data breach impacts 106 million customers. Investopedia; 2020. Available:https://www.investopedia.com/ca pital-one-reveals-massive-hack-exposingmillions-4707235
- Zhang X, Yadollahi MM, Dadkhah S, Isah H, Le DP, Ghorbani AA. Data breach: analysis, countermeasures and challenges. International Journal of Information and Computer Security. 2022; 19(3/4):402. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1504/ijics.2022.1271

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1504/ijics.2022.12/1

- Kong Z, Xue J, Wang Y, Huang L, Niu Z, Li F. A survey on adversarial attack in the age of artificial intelligence. Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing. Jun. 2021;2021:1–22. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/490 7754
- Mishra S. Exploring the Impact of AI-Based Cyber Security Financial Sector Managemen. Applied Sciences. Jan. 2023;13(10):5875. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/app13105875
- Banks E. Exploring security strategies to protect personally identifiable information in small businesses – proquest; 2022. Available:www.proquest.com, https://search.proquest.com/openview/665 ab6de38cf0bfcf0315eaf4f8a1aae/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y
- Sarker IH. AI-Based modeling: Techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems," sn computer science. Feb. 2022;3(2). Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-022-01043-x
- Kunwar M. Artificial intelligence in finance: Understanding how automation and machine learning is transforming the financial industry; 2019. Available:www.theseus.fi. https://www.theseus.fi/handle/10024/22756 0
- 9. Abalaka AI, Olaniyi OO, Adebiyi OO. Understanding and overcoming the limitations to strategy execution in hotels within the small and medium enterprises sector. Asian Journal of Economics,

business and accounting. Oct. 2023; 23(22):26–36.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i221134

- Gupta K, et al. Harnessing AI for strategic 10. decision-making and business performance optimization. International Intelligent Journal of Systems and Applications in Engineering. Aug. 2023; 11(10s):893-912. Available:https://www.ijisae.org/index.php/I JISAE/article/view/3360
- Bhatore S, Mohan L, Reddy YR. Machine learning techniques for credit risk evaluation: a systematic literature review. Journal of Banking and Financial Technology. Apr. 2020;4(1):111–138. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42786-020-00020-3
- Oladoyinbo TO, Adebiyi OO, Ugonnia JC, Olaniyi OO, Okunleye OJ. Evaluating and establishing baseline security requirements in cloud computing: An enterprise risk management approach. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. Oct. 2023;23(21):222–231. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i211129
- Doppalapudi P, Kumar P, Murphy A, Zhang S, Rougeaux C, Stearns R. The fight against money laundering: Machine learning is a game changer | McKinsey, www.mckinsey.com; Oct. 07, 2022. Available:https://www.mckinsey.com/capab ilities/risk-and-resilience/our-insights/thefight-against-money-laundering-machinelearning-is-a-game-changer
- Denittis N. Al for KYC Compliance Three Use Cases - Emerj Artificial Intelligence Research - Banking," banking.emerj.ai; Jan. 09, 2023. Available:https://banking.emerj.ai/ai-forkyc-regulations-use-cases/ (accessed Jan. 31, 2024)
- Olagbaju OO, Babalola RO, Olaniyi OO. Code alternation in English as a Second Language Classroom: A Communication and Learning Strategy," Nova Science Publishers eBooks; Jan. 2023. Available:https://doi.org/10.52305/ylhj5878
- Olagbaju OO, Olaniyi OO. Explicit and differentiated phonics instruction on pupils' literacy skills in Gambian Lower Basic Schools. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies. May 2023;44(2):20–30. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/202 3/v44i2958

- Varona D, Suárez JL. Discrimination, bias. 17. fairness, and trustworthy AI," Applied Sciences. Jun. 2022;12(12):5826. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/app12125 826
- 18. ΕI Baih M. The role of privacy regulations in Al development (A discussion of the ways in which privacy regulations can shape the development of AI). Social Science Research Network; Jan. 2023.

Available:https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4589 207

Olaniyi FG, Olaniyi OO, Adigwe CS, 19. Shah Abalaka AI, N. Harnessing predictive analytics strategic for foresight: A comprehensive review of applications techniques and in transforming raw data to actionable insights. Asian journal of economics, business and accounting. Nov. 2023; 23(22):441-459. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202

3/v23i221164 Alkhalili M, Qutqut M, Almasalha F.

20. Investigation of applying machine learning for watch-list filtering in anti-money laundering IEEE Journals & Magazine IEEE Xplore. ieeexplore.ieee.org; Jan. 18, 2021.

Available:https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstra ct/document/9328094/

21. Olaniyi OO, Olabanji SO, Abalaka AI. Navigating risk in the modern business landscape: Strategies and Insights for Enterprise Risk Management Implementation. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. Sep. 2023;29(9): 103-109. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2023/ v29i91789

Hammi B, Zeadally S, Nebhen J. Security 22. threats, countermeasures, and challenges of digital supply chains," ACM Computing Surveys. Mar. 2023;55(14).

Available:https://doi.org/10.1145/3588999

- Olaniyi OO, Olabanji SO, Okunleye OJ. 23. Exploring the landscape of decentralized organizations: autonomous А comprehensive review of blockchain initiatives. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. Sep. 2023;29(9): 73-81. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2023/ v29i91786
- Olaniyi OO, Abalaka AI, OlabanjiSO. 24. Utilizing big data analytics and business

intelligence for improved decision-making at leading fortune company. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. Sep. 2023;29(9):64-72.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2023/ v29i91785

- 25. Yadav A. GDPR, CCPA, and the AI Explainability Question, Dataversity; Jan. 28. 2020. Available:https://www.dataversity.net/gdprccpa-and-the-ai-explainability-question/
- Olaniyi OO, Okunleye OJ, Olabanji SO. 26. Advancing data-driven decision-making in smart cities through big data analytics: A review comprehensive of existing Applied literature. Current Journal of Science and Technology. Aua. 2023:42(25):10-18. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2023 /v42i254181
- Ajavi SA, Olaniyi OO, Oladovinbo TO, 27. Ajayi ND, Olaniyi FG. Sustainable sourcing of organic skincare ingredients: A critical analvsis of ethical concerns and environmental implications. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. Jan. 2024;18(1):65-91. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024

/v18i1598

- 28. Privacy S. Artificial intelligence and personal data protection: Complying with the GDPR and CCPA while using AI," Secureprivacy.ai; 2023. Available:https://secureprivacy.ai/blog/aipersonal-data-protection-gdpr-ccpacompliance
- Marquis YA, Oladoyinbo TO, Olabanji SO, 29. Olaniyi OO, Ajayi SS. Proliferation of AI tools: A multifaceted evaluation of user perceptions and emerging trend. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. Jan. 2024;18(1):30-35. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024/v18i1596

- 30. Comparing Β. CLTC. effects and responses to GDPR and CCPA. CLTC; 2021. Available:https://cltc.berkeley.edu/publicati on/comparing-effects-and-responses-togdpr-and-ccpa/
- 31. Ferguson R. The emerging landscape of ai-driven cybersecurity threats: A look ahead. Security Week Network; Dec. 28, 2023.
- 32. Sharma Enhancing K. cybersecurity through AI: A Look into the future," www.isc2.org; Sep. 19, 2023.

Available:https://www.isc2.org/Insights/202 3/09/Enhancing-Cybersecurity-through-AI-A-Look-into-the-Future

- 33. Oladoyinbo TO, Olabanji SO, Olaniyi OO, Adebiyi OO, Okunleye OJ, Alao Al. Exploring the Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Data Integrity and its Influence on Social Dynamics. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. Jan. 2024;18(2):1–23. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024 /v18i2601
- Nikitin M. Leveraging AI for continuous detection of zero-day threats | Blog," Itirra; Jan. 23, 2020. Available:https://itirra.com/blog/leveragingai-for-continuous-detection-of-zero-daythreats/ (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)
- 35. Olubukola Omolara Adebiyi S, Olabanjic O, Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi. Promoting inclusive accounting education through the integration of stem principles for a diverse classroom. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies. Dec. 2023;49(4):152–171. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/202 3/v49i41196
- Stanham L. AI-Powered behavioral analysis in cybersecurity | crowdstrike," crowdstrike.com; Sep. 07, 2023. Available:https://www.crowdstrike.com/cyb ersecurity-101/secops/ai-poweredbehavioral-analysis/
- 37. Olabanji SO. Al-Driven cloud security: Examining the impact of user behavior analysis on threat detection. Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science. 2024;17(3):57–74. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/20 24/v17i3424
- blogs.blackberry.com, "Predictive AI in Cybersecurity: What Works and How to Understand It," blogs.blackberry.com; Oct. 18, 2023. Available:https://blogs.blackberry.com/en/2
- 023/10/predictive-ai-in-cybersecurity
  39. Olabanji SO. Al for identity and access management (IAM) in the cloud: Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence to improve user authentication, authorization, and access control within cloud-based systems. Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science. 2024;17(3):38–56. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/20 24/v17i3423
- Olaniyi OO, Olaoye OO, Okunleye OJ.
   Effects of information governance (IG) on profitability in the nigerian banking sector.

Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. Jul. 2023;23(18):22–35. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i181055

- Chen P, Wu L, Wang L. AI fairness in data management and analytics: A review on challenges, methodologies and applications. Applied Sciences. Sep. 2023;13(18):10258–10258. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/app13181 0258
- 42. Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi, Christopher Uzoma Asonze, Samson Abidemi Ajayi, Samuel Oladiipo Olabanji, and Chinasa Susan Adigwe. A regressional study on the impact of organizational security culture and transformational leadership on social engineering awareness among bank employees: The interplay of security education and behavioral change. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. Dec. 2023;23(23):128–143. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i231176
- Liu D, Chang O. Scaling security with AI: from detection to solution. Google Online Security Blog; 2024. Available:https://security.googleblog.com/2 024/01/scaling-security-with-ai-fromdetection.html (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)
- 44. Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi, Shah N, Nidhi Bahuguna. Quantitative analysis and comparative review of dividend policy dynamics within the banking sector: Insights from global and U.S. financial data and existing literature. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Dec. 2023;23(23):179–199. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i231180

 Jakkal V. Microsoft unveils expansion of Al for security and security for Al at Microsoft Ignite. Microsoft Security Blog; Nov. 15, 2023. Available:https://www.microsoft.com/enus/security/blog/2023/11/15/microsoft-

unveils-expansion-of-ai-for-security-andsecurity-for-ai-at-microsoft-ignite/

- Bowen E. Frank W, Golden D. Cyber Al: Real defense, Deloitte Insights; Dec. 07, 2021. Available:https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/i nsights/focus/tech-trends/2022/future-ofcybersecurity-and-ai.html
- 47. Olaniyi OO, Omubo DS. The importance of COSO framework compliance in information technology auditing and

enterprise resource management. International Journal of Innovative Research and Development; Jun. 2023. Available:https://doi.org/10.24940/ijird/202 3/v12/i5/may23001

- 48. Cao L. Al in Finance: Challenges, Techniques, and Opportunities. ACM Computing Surveys, Apr. 2023;55(3): 1–38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3502289
- Chui M, et al. Notes from the ai frontier insights from hundreds of use cases; 2018. Available:https://www.mckinsey.com/westcoast/~/media/McKinsey/Featured%20Insi ghts/Artificial%20Intelligence/Notes%20fro m%20the%20Al%20frontier%20Applicatio ns%20and%20value%20of%20deep%20le arning/Notes-from-the-Al-frontier-Insightsfrom-hundreds-of-use-cases-Discussionpaper.pdf
- 50. Oluwaseun Oladeji Olaniyi, Dagogo Sopriala Omubo. WhatsApp data policy, data security and users' vulnerability. International Journal of Innovative Research and Development; May 2023, Availabler:https://doi.org/10.24940/ijird/202 3/v12/i4/apr23021
- Ajunwa I. Redirecting...," heinonline.org; 2021. Available:https://heinonline.org/hol-cgibin/get\_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/berkt ech36§ion=35 (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)
   Omogoroye OO, Olaniyi OO, Adebiyi OO,
- Omogoroye OO, Olaniyi OO, Adebiyi OO, Oladoyinbo TO, Olaniyi FG. Electricity consumption (kW) forecast for a building of interest based on a time series nonlinear regression model," Asian Journal of Economics. Business and accounting. Oct. 2023;23(21):197–207. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23j211127
- 53. Toms S, Simon DA, Vermynck EC, Kamyar JA. AI insights: How regulators worldwide are addressing the adoption of AI in financial services | Insights | Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP," www.skadden.com; Dec. 12, 2023. Available:https://www.skadden.com/insight

s/publications/2023/12/how-regulatorsworldwide-are-addressing-the-adoption-ofai-in-financial-services

54. Quadri FU, Olaniyi OO, Olaoye OO. Interplay of islam and economic growth: Unveiling the Long-run dynamics in muslim and non-muslim countries. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies. Dec. 2023;49(4):483–498. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/202 3/v49i41226

- 55. Bank of England, "DP5/22 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. www.bankofengland.co.uk; Oct. 11, 2022. Available:https://www.bankofengland.co.uk /prudentialregulation/publication/2022/october/artificia l-intelligence
- 56. Clarke O. How AI is regulated in UK financial services today," www.osborneclarke.com; Feb. 05, 2024. Available:https://www.osborneclarke.com/i nsights/how-ai-regulated-uk-financialservices-today (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)
- 57. Adigwe CS, Abalaka AI, Olaniyi OO, Adebiyi OO, Oladoyinbo TO. Critical analysis of innovative leadership through effective data analytics: Exploring trends in business analysis, Finance, marketing, and information technology. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. Nov. 2023;23(22):460–479. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/202 3/v23i221165
- 58. Treacy S, Vesconte SL. How AI in financial services is regulated in the UK | Knowledge | Linklaters, www.linklaters.com; Oct. 07, 2021. Available:https://www.linklaters.com/en/kn owledge/publications/alerts-newslettersand-guides/2021/october/07/how-ai-infinancial-services-is-regulated-in-the-uk
- 59. Meagher SAS, Toms FLS, Simon DA, Vermynck EC, Kamyar JA. AI insights: How regulators worldwide are addressing the adoption of AI in financial services," Lexology; Dec. 12, 2023. Available:https://www.lexology.com/library/ detail.aspx?g=574b207f-09e5-4db4-b1a7f963727a8f3d (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)
- 60. eu C. European Al act: Implications for the financial services industry," www.consultancy.eu; Oct. 19, 2023. Available:https://www.consultancy.eu/news /9392/european-ai-act-implications-for-thefinancial-services-industry
- Olaniyi OO, Okunleye OJ, Olabanji SO, Asonze CU, Ajayi SA. IoT Security in the Era of Ubiquitous Computing: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Addressing Vulnerabilities and Promoting Resilience," Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science. Dec. 2023;16(4):354–371,

Olabanji et al.; Asian J. Econ. Busin. Acc., vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 106-125, 2024; Article no.AJEBA.113293

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/20 23/v16i4397

62. Dawson C. Impact of the new EU AI regulation on financial sector firms," Clifford Chance; Sep. 21, 2021.

Available:https://www.cliffordchance.com/i nsights/resources/blogs/talkingtech/en/articles/2021/09/impact-of-thenew-eu-ai-regulation-on-financial-sectorfirms.html (accessed Feb. 01, 2024)

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113293