

Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice

Volume 6, Issue 2, Page 292-297, 2023; Article no.AJMPCP.108397

# Comparing Nasotracheal vs. Orotracheal Intubation for Patients in the Intensive Care

# Abdul Karim Mohamed Almohamed a++\*

<sup>a</sup> Danat Alemarat Hospital, United Arab Emirates.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108397</u>

**Review Article** 

Received: 26/08/2023 Accepted: 02/11/2023 Published: 06/11/2023

# ABSTRACT

All patients admitted to the intensive care unit require constant care and interventions, depending upon their condition. This is because nearly all the patients kept in the intensive care departments are in a very serious condition. Their prognosis could not be determined just by making them stay within the department. However, new interventions might be needed from time to time to ensure that the person is maintaining all vital signs and capacities to the best of their body's abilities. This, among the other factors involved in the equation, is one of the major goals that physicians working in the ICU settings must take care of and be aware of. The airway, amidst all the bodily systems and organs, is one of the most important features that must be secured and taken care of in the best possible way. The patency of the airway is what ultimately affects the rest of the systems and organs of the body, and thus, the utmost level of care must be taken to make sure that a patient has a secure, clear, and patent airway at all times. Airway management is also one of the first things to be assessed and managed accordingly when reporting at an accident site. In the cases where a person's airway has been compromised or there is a risk of it getting compromised, immediate steps are taken to intubate the patient. Intubation not only helps clear and secure the airway but also helps stabilize the otherwise deteriorating condition of the patient to a greater

++ Specialist Anesthesia;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: mohameddrkarim@gmail.com;

Asian J. Med. Prin. Clinic. Prac., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 292-297, 2023

extent. There are several techniques for intubating a patient, all depending upon their individual indications and conditions. This review, will, however, compare the effectiveness and safety of orotracheal and nasotracheal modes of intubation in patients. This review will serve as an exploratory guide to see which method of intubation is safer and more convenient when opting for patients with a compromised airway. It will help guide the physicians to make an appropriate decision in times of need when there is an emergency related to the patient.

Keywords: Intubation; nasotracheal; orotracheal; airway compromise; patent airways; effectiveness of intubation.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Airway management is one of the first and foremost priorities in patients with respiratory compromises. It becomes a matter of life or death for patients who are unable to maintain ventilation owing to their underlying diseases or conditions. It is not just about the inability to maintain mechanical ventilation but also the risk collecting secretions and possible of obstructions, or even respiratory failure as a result of that, which leads to the ultimate decision of intubating a patient in a provided clinical setting [1].

It is also important to pay particular attention must be directed toward patients with obesity and pregnancy, as these conditions diminish functional residual capacity (FRC) and elevate the risk of atelectasis, a condition that considerably increases the risk of hypoxemia [2,3].

Throughout all the clinical settings and in particular the ICU, there exists a profound necessity for a provider to possess а comprehensive understanding of the intricate facts pertaining to the complexity of the airway. This expertise extends to encompass a thorough crucial knowledge of the anatomical, physiological, and pathological elements that influence the airway's functionality. Moreover, skilled practitioners must acquaint themselves with the diverse array of tools and techniques that have been meticulously developed for this very purpose [4].

The indications for embarking upon the path of endotracheal intubation are manifold, each bearing the weight of critical decision-making. This path must be chosen when faced with the dire specter of respiratory failure, be it hypoxic suffocation or the looming threat of a hypercapnia crisis. The shadow of apnea, that perilous cessation of breathing, necessitates the same course of action [5]. It is also in the presence of a diminished level of consciousness, often quantified by a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of less than or equal to 8, that the need for intubating a patient becomes undeniably necessary.

In the ever-changing landscape of medical emergencies, a rapid alteration in mental status serves as another essential indicator that the airway must be fortified. Airway injury, with its potential to descend into an impending airway crisis, likewise propels us toward intubation. Moreover, there exists a high risk of aspiration, that perilous inhalation of foreign material into the lungs, which becomes an immediate call to action [6].

#### 2. COMMONLY USED TECHNIQUES FOR ENDOTRACHEAL INTUBATION

There are several techniques employed for the endotracheal intubation of a patient in a clinical setting. These techniques largely depend on the patient's condition, the dire need for intubation, and the skills and expertise of the person doing the intubation. Depending upon these indications, intubation could be done as deemed necessary.

However, immense care must be taken to ensure that only the most skilled and expert technician performs the intubation to minimize any risks associated with intubation, and also take care of any complications that might be encountered during the process [7].

Intubation, a procedure commonly undertaken in the medical field, involves the insertion of a tube into the trachea, often through the oral route with the aid of laryngoscopy. Prior to intubation, patients are pre-oxygenated, and they may receive narcotics and a muscle relaxant to facilitate the process and make it pain-free for the patient [8].

However, as patients continue to receive mechanical ventilation following intubation, a

delicate balance must be maintained. While sedation is frequently administered to ensure patients' tolerance of the orotracheal tube, it is also important to note that this approach may introduce a set of challenges.

These challenges include an increased reliance on vasopressors to maintain blood pressure, a higher likelihood of delirium, the development of muscular weakness specific to critically ill patients, potential impairment of spontaneous breathing, limitations on physiotherapy, restricted patient mobility, and an elevated risk of mortality [9].

In an effort to address these complexities and enhance patient comfort, an alternative approach is considered, and that is intubation via the nasotracheal route. This method, though less commonly employed, has its sets of merits. It has been observed that patients who undergo nasotracheal intubation tend to require less sedation and fewer catecholamines. Additionally, they exhibit increased alertness and responsiveness [10].

It is worth noting that while nasotracheal intubation was once widely abandoned due to concerns about potential sinusitis. this cannot be exclusively attributed risk to nasotracheal intubation alone. Similar concerns regarding sinusitis can also apply to orotracheal intubation. Thus, the endpoint of this discussion could be to keep the benefits on top of everything else when opting for intubation via either route.

#### 3. NASOTRACHEAL VS. OROTRACHEAL INTUBATION - WHICH ONE IS MOST SUITABLE FOR THE PATIENT?

There are several studies that have been carried out to find whether nasotracheal intubation is better than orotracheal, or vice versa. However, what stands out is the fact that different age corpus, gender, and underlying indications have different courses of action that are planned accordingly.

In an open randomized controlled trial carried out on the pediatric population, it was concluded that nasotracheal intubation required a longer duration to complete when contrasted with orotracheal intubation. This discrepancy in intubation times can be attributed to the greater technical complexity associated with the former procedure [11]. However, it is essential to recognize that despite this time differential, several critical outcome measures remained comparable between the two intubation methods, particularly in the pediatric patient population [12].

Among these key outcome measures were instances where children required more than one intubation attempt, complications arising during the intubation process, the need for repeated intubations, incidents of endotracheal tube malposition or displacement, occurrences of unplanned extubation, skin trauma, endotracheal tube (ETT) blockage, the development of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), the duration of intubation itself, the necessity for nebulization. post-extubation adrenaline atelectasis, requirements for post-extubation respiratory support, occurrences of extubation failure, the duration of stav within the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU), and overall mortality rates [13,14].

These findings align with previous research done in the field, where similar trends were identified in both critically ill adults and pediatric populations. Previous studies have consistently reported that nasotracheal intubation tends to be a timeintensive procedure when compared to orotracheal intubation in various clinical settings [15].

Furthermore, it is worth noting that nasotracheal intubation, in contrast to orotracheal intubation, has been associated with notable physiological responses, particularly in the early postintubation period. These responses include alterations in heart rate and blood pressure, underscoring the physiological challenges and potential discomfort associated with nasotracheal intubation. Additionally, nasotracheal intubation has been linked to a higher demand for additional providers, an increased number of intubation attempts, and a greater incidence of traumatic intubations when compared to the orotracheal approach [16,17]. All these findings contribute to the broader understanding of airway management strategies in critical care settings.

In another retrospective study, the comparative impacts of nasotracheal versus orotracheal intubation within the cohort of critically ill patients who had undergone mechanical ventilation for a minimum duration of 48 hours [18].

The finding revealed that patients subjected to nasotracheal intubation exhibited heightened

levels of alertness, a phenomenon mirrored by their reduced requirements for sedative agents and vasopressors. This increased alertness also translated into a greater degree of patient mobilization during physiotherapy sessions. There was also an independent link associated with orotracheal intubation and heightened mortality rates. This association remained significant even after meticulous adjustment for disease severity, as measured by Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores, and the duration of mechanical ventilation [19].

The depth of sedation administered to critically ill patients has garnered considerable attention in recent literature. Studies have consistently underscored the benefits of maintaining lighter levels of sedation, including decreased mortality rates and reduced mechanical ventilation durations. Guidelines for intensive care have recommended targeting a Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) score of 0 or -1, a recommendation grounded in empirical evidence [20].

Investigations have also revealed that patients who underwent nasotracheal intubation required lower doses of sedative medications, thereby enabling them to spend more time within the optimal RASS range. This phenomenon may be attributed to the diminished oropharyngeal stimulation associated with nasotracheal intubation, which, in turn, mitigates the need for pharmacological attenuation of pharyngeal reflexes—a requisite for tolerating an orally placed airway device [21].

The concept of reduced sedative requirements associated with specific airway interventions aligns with recent research indicating that tracheostomy, as an example, leads to decreased sedative drug dosages and concurrent increases in RASS values. In patients with tracheostomy, the absence of stimuli that typically induce pharyngeal reflexes, such as contributes to this noteworthy gagging, observation.

The associations identified between intubation methods, sedative requirements, and clinical outcomes underscore the importance of tailored approaches to airway management and sedation in this patient population, offering avenues for further exploration and refinement of critical care practices [22].

Within the scope of this comparative analysis, a distinct pattern emerged: a higher incidence of

mortality was observed among patients in the Orotracheal Intubation (OTI) group when compared to their counterparts in the Nasotracheal Intubation (NTI) group. Notably, patients in the OTI cohort presented with elevated Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores [23].

It is noteworthy that SOFA scores, initially designed to assess organ dysfunction rather than mortality, have been validated as predictors of mortality across various patient populations.

Contrastingly, scores generated by the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) and the Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAPS II), which are explicitly tailored for mortality prediction, exhibited no significant differences between the two study groups. The predicted mortality rates derived from SAPS II and the initial SOFA score were found to be 55% and within the range of 40-50%, respectively indicating results that align closely with the observed mortality rate of 40% within the specified cohort [24,25].

The potential deleterious effects of heightened sedation levels have garnered attention within the literature. A growing body of research has illuminated an association between deep sedation and diminished survival rates. This association between sedation depth and adverse outcomes could provide insight into the higher mortality rates observed in the OTI group, given the likely greater sedative requirements associated with this intubation method [26].

The findings underscore the multifaceted nature of critical care interventions and their influence on patient outcomes, fueling the ongoing pursuit of optimized practices in the field.

Therefore, it could be safe to say that nasotracheal intubation exhibited notable advantages, including reduced reliance on sedative medications, greater support for assisted spontaneous breathing, and enhanced patient mobility during physiotherapy sessions.

Interestingly enough, the incidence rates of clinically apparent sinusitis and ventilatorassociated pneumonia (VAP) showed no significant disparity between the nasotracheal and orotracheal intubation groups. However, it is worth highlighting that the orotracheal intubation approach emerged as an independent risk factor for mortality in our study.

# 4. CONCLUSION

Intubation is one of the most important components of airway management in critically ill patients. Not only are these patients in need of better ventilation, but they also require it to stay clear of all secretions that might cause obstruction and then respiratory failure in the patients. Nasotracheal and orotracheal are the two most widely accepted and employed techniques for intubation. However, they have their individual indications and parameters that need to be considered when opting for either one. Throughout different studies, it has been found that nasotracheal intubation indeed has more benefits than the orotracheal method. It also has fewer complications and a more smooth procedure as compared to orotracheal intubation.

# CONSENT

It is not applicable.

# ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Avva U, Lata JM, Kiel J. Airway Management. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK470403/ Accessed on: 2023 Oct 9.
- Mouri Mi, Krishnan S, Hendrix JM, Maani CV. Airway Assessment. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK470477/ Accessed on:2023 Oct 10].
- Carvajal C, Lopez J. Airway Monitoring. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534 879/

Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.

4. De Jong A, Jung B, Jaber S. Intubation in the ICU: we could improve our practice. Crit Care. 2014;18(2):209.

- Alvarado AC, Panakos P. Endotracheal Tube Intubation Techniques. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK560730/ Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.
- 6. Alanazi A. Intubations and airway management: An overview of Hassles through third millennium. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2015;8(2):99–107.
- Allen P, Desai NM, Lawrence VN. Tracheal Intubation Medications. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK507812/ Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.
- Schrader M, Urits I. Tracheal Rapid Sequence Intubation. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK560592/

Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.

- Gnugnoli DM, Singh A, Shafer K. EMS Field Intubation. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK538221/ Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.
- Ghatehorde NK, Regunath H. Intubation Endotracheal Tube Medications. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/book s/NBK459276/ Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.
- 11. Baranwal AK, Meena JP, Singhi SC, Muralidharan J. Dexamethasone pretreatment for 24 h versus 6 h for prevention of postextubation airway obstruction in children: a randomized double-blind trial. Intensive Care Med. 2014;40(9):1285–94.
- Samprathi M, Baranwal AK, Gupta PK, 12. Javashree Μ. Pre-extubation ultrasonographic measurement of intracricoid peritubal free space: А pilot study to predict postextubation airway obstruction in children. Int J PediatrOtorhinolaryngol. 2020;138: 110348.
- Bowman JP, Nedley MP, Jenkins KA, Fahncke CR. Pilot Study Comparing Nasal vs Oral Intubation for Dental Surgery by

Physicians, Nurse Anesthetists, and Trainees. Anesth Prog. 2018;65(2):89–93.

- Kumar V, Angurana SK, Baranwal AK, Nallasamy K. Nasotracheal vs. Orotracheal Intubation and Post-extubation Airway Obstruction in Critically III Children: An Open-Label Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Pediatr. 2021;9:713516.
- Chahar JS, Das PK, Dubey RK, Malviya D, Harjai M, Rastogi S. Comparison of Orotracheal versus Nasotracheal Fiberoptic Intubation Using Hemodynamic Parameters in Patients with Anticipated Difficult Airway. Anesth Essays Res. 2020;14(1):81–6.
- Christian CE, Thompson NE, Wakeham MK. Use and Outcomes of Nasotracheal Intubation Among Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation Across U.S. PICUs. Pediatr Crit Care Med J Soc Crit Care Med World Fed Pediatr Intensive Crit Care Soc. 2020;21(7):620–4.
- 17. Balzer F, Weiß B, Kumpf O, Treskatsch S, Spies C, Wernecke KD, et al. Early deep sedation is associated with decreased in-hospital and two-year followup survival. Crit Care Lond Engl. 2015;19(1):197.
- Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB. Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(20):1471–7.
- Organizational factors associated with target sedation on the first 48 h of mechanical ventilation: an analysis of checklist-ICU database | Critical Care | Full Text [Internet]. Available:https://ccforum.biomedcentral.co m/articles/10.1186/s13054-019-2323-y Accessed on:2023 Oct 10.
- 20. SRLF Trial Group. Impact of oversedation prevention in ventilated critically ill patients: a randomized trial-the AWARE

study. Ann Intensive Care. 2018 Sep 21;8(1):93.

- 21. Hosokawa K, Nishimura M, Egi M, Vincent JL. Timing of tracheotomy in ICU patients: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care. 2015;19:424.
- 22. Bösel J, Niesen WD, Salih F, Morris NA, Ragland JT, Gough B, et al. Effect of Early vs Standard Approach to Tracheostomy on Functional Outcome at 6 Months Among Patients With Severe Stroke Receiving Mechanical Ventilation: The SETPOINT2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2022;327(19):1899–909.
- Chorath K, Hoang A, Rajasekaran K, Moreira A. Association of Early vs Late Tracheostomy Placement With Pneumonia and Ventilator Days in Critically III Patients: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Otolaryngol-- Head Neck Surg. 2021;147(5):450–9.
- Plümer L, Schön G, Klatt J, Hanken H, Schmelzle R, Pohlenz P. Nasal position of nasotracheal tubes: a retrospective analysis of intraoperatively generated three-dimensional X-rays during maxillofacial surgery. Eur J Med Res. 2014;19(1):55.
- 25. Holzapfel L, Chastang C, Demingeon G, Bohe J, Piralla B, Coupry A. A randomized study assessing the systematic search for maxillary sinusitis in nasotracheally mechanically ventilated patients. Influence of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis on the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159(3):695–701.
- 26. Silveira SQ, da Silva LM, Ho AMH, Kakuda CM, Santos DW de CL, Nersessian RSF, et al. Orotracheal intubation incorporating aerosol-mitigating strategies by anaesthesiologists, intensivists and emergency physicians: a simulation study. BMJ Simul Technol Enhanc Learn. 2021;7(5):385–9.

© 2023 Almohamed; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108397