

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 11, Page 415-422, 2023; Article no.IJECC.107523 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

In-vivo Screening of Cherry Tomato [Solanum lycopersicum L. var. cerasiforme (Dunnal) A. Gray] Genotypes and Hybrids against Fusarium Wilt in Arunachal Pradesh, India

Rituraj Dutta^{a*}, Nangsol Dolma Bhutia^a, P. Raja^b, Siddhartha Singh^c, Budhindra Nath Hazarika^d, Chandra Deo^a, Khwairakpam Rozerto^d, Tabalique Yumkhaibam^a and Pravinkumar Yumkhaibam^e

 ^a Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, CAU(I), Arunachal Pradesh, India.
^b Department of Plant Protection, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, CAU(I), Arunachal Pradesh, India.
^c Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, CAU(I), Arunachal Pradesh, India.
^d Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, CAU(I), Arunachal Pradesh, India.
^e Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, CAU(I), Arunachal Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i113185

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107523

*Corresponding author: E-mail: riturajdutta980@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 415-422, 2023

Dutta et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 415-422, 2023; Article no.IJECC.107523

Original Research Article

Received: 02/08/2023 Accepted: 04/10/2023 Published: 09/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Cherry tomato [Solanum lycopersicum L. var. cerasiforme (Dunnal) A. Gray] is becoming popular among various tribes of Arunachal Pradesh due to its unique taste, flavor and appearance. Owing high rainfall and high humidity, successful cultivation of cherry tomato is becoming restricted in this state due to various biotic factors like infection of wilt complexes as well as pests like root-knot nematodes. The aim of the present investigation was to evaluate eighteen cherry tomato genotypes (nine) and hybrids (nine) against Fungal wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici resistance in the Pasighat condition of Arunachal Pradesh, India. For screening purpose, artificial pathogen inoculation method was applied through pin-prick method in the 35 days old cherry tomato seedlings that were grown in pot culture containing sterile soil and data for the pathogen infection was recorded by following Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in 60 days and 120 days after pathogen inoculation, Among 18 genotypes and hybrids, G4xG6 (DI:0.00%, PDI:0.00%). G9 (DI:0.00%, PDI:0.00%) and G5 (DI:0.00%, PDI:0.00%) showed highest resistance towards Fusarium wilt, while genotype G3 (DI:65.56%, PDI:63.60%) exhibited susceptible system. The disease incidence was ranged from 0.00%-65.56%. The use of resistant genotypes and hybrids to manage the population of fungus is a very cost effective method and can be exploited commercially in breeding programmes and for vegetable grafting.

Keywords: Cherry tomato; Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici; screening; resistance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the inception of the agriculture, individuals have been breeding for desirable traits in an effort to improve the existing crops. However, the current standard conventional crop breeding techniques are insufficient to improve crop growth at the necessary rate to meet the increasing need for the population's demand. The development of long-lasting, broad-spectrum resistance is also crucial due to the increased danger that pests and diseases provide to contemporary agriculture. The development of resilient, broad-spectrum resistance is also crucial due to the higher risk of pests and diseases to the contemporary agriculture with the increasing of pests and disease infestations for the recent as well as the upcoming days. Hence, crop protection through the development of resistant cultivars is seen as a sustainable and ecologically benign strategy [1]. Crop varieties with resistance features assist in creating a genetic resource of resistant genes that may be exploited in crop improvement programme for newly emerging diseases and pests.

Cherry tomato, Solanum lycopersicum L. var. cerasiformae [2], is regarded as the ancestor plant (2n=24) of all domesticated tomatoes.

Cherry tomatoes are little fruit having variety of shapes and colours. Cherry tomatoes sometimes referred to as the "salad tomatoes," have grown in popularity around the globe as a result of its high vitamin A and C content, protein content, flavorful texture, and ability to maintain its firmness even at high temperatures [3]. The production of cherry tomatoes is subject to a variety of biotic and abiotic challenges, including seasonal environmental factors like temperature and relative humidity as well as diseases and insect pests.

In North-East India, the successful cultivation of cherry tomatoes is hindered by frequent insect invasions and disease infections. The main factors limiting tomato production in all areas of India are mainly diseases like bacterial wilt, causes by *Ralstonia solanacearum* [4], fusarium wilt causes by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* and pests like phytoparasitic nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) have economic importance.

Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. *lycopersici*, which causes fusarium wilt or fungal wilt in tomatoes, continues to pose a significant threat to tomato production across the world. Additionally, it is a soil-borne vascular wilt disease that turns the lower leaves of the plant to yellow and

gradually leads to wilt and dry out of the entire plant [5]. There have been reports of many Fusarium races, including races 1, 2, and 3, being able to overcome host resistance. North East Indian states have a higher probability of supporting this soil-borne disease due to excessive rainfall and humidity. Fusarium has been blamed for yield losses of up to 50% in the North East region of India. Farmers are still unsure of the most effective fusarium wilt management techniques. Physical, chemical, and biological control techniques can occasionally cause more problems than cultural practices because of the vast host range of the pathogen. By directly using excessive amounts of pesticides in vegetables may cause health issues. Currently, research on vegetable grafting as a combination management technique for lowering biotic and abiotic stresses for long-term sustainability is also being explored.

Previous researchers have studied about the morphological and biochemical natures of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* [6,7], about

2.2 Experimental Materials

various screening techniques [8,9] and categorized some genotypes, cultivars and hybrids of tomato as resistant, susceptible and tolerant according to their various responses towards the pathogen [10,11,12]. A sensible solution to these issues is to hunt for resistant sources from regionally accessible, novel tomato genotypes with appropriate breeding techniques for

introducing those genes in the commercial cultivars.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Area

The current study was conducted in the College of Horticulture and Forestry, CAU, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, which is situated in the eastern foothills of the Himalayas, in a naturally ventilated polyhouse. The experimental site is located 155 m above mean sea level with latitude of 28.07° North and a longitude of 95.33° East.

SI. No.	Genotype	Sources		
1.	Genotype 2	Kohima, Nagaland		
	(G2)			
2	Genotype 3	Ziro, Arunachal Pradesh		
	(G3)			
3	G2xG3	CHF, Pasighat		
4	Genotype 7	SASARD, Nagaland		
	(G7)			
5	G2xG7	CHF, Pasighat		
6	Genotype 4	SASRD, NU		
	(G4)			
7	Genotype 5	Boleng, Arunachal Pradesh		
	(G5)			
8	G4xG5	CHF, Pasighat		
9	G2xG4	CHF, Pasighat		
10	Genotype 9	Senapati, Manipur		
	(G9)			
11	G4xG9	CHF, Pasighat		
12	G2xG9	CHF, Pasighat		
13	G9xG10	CHF, Pasighat		
14	G1x G10	CHF, Pasighat		
15	G4xG6	CHF, Pasighat		
16	Genotype 11	Jorhat, Assam		
	(G11)			
17	Genotype 12	Phek, Nagaland		
	(G12)			
18	Genotype 13	Karbi Anglong, Assam		
	(G13)			

Table 1. List of cherry tomato genotypes and their hybrids with respective sources

Nine different cherry tomato genotypes, collected from different states of North-East India and nine hybrids developed at the Vegetable Research Farm of College of Horticulture and Forestry in Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, through diallel mating, were used for the present study. In Table 1, a list of all nine genotypes, nine hybrids and their sources are provided. The nursery activity was carried out in September 2022 to raise the seedlings of all the F1 hybrids and cherry tomato genotypes. The parents of the hybrids, namely Genotypes no. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9 were found to be superior in terms of the general combining ability (GCA) impact and mean performance during the heterosis investigation of the hybrids and parents. Based on specific combining ability SCA effects, heterosis, and mean performances, the hybrids G2xG7, G2XG3, G2XG4, G2XG9, G4XG5, G4XG6, G4XG9, G9xG10, as well as G1xG10, were better. Some wildly growing genotypes named as G11, G12, and G13 were gathered from various locations of North-East India. The seedlings were transplanted after 28 days (October) in the plastic pots that contained sterile media for growing and kept inside a ventilated polyhouse for naturally taking observations.

2.3 Isolation, Preparation and Artificial Inoculation of Pathogens

The direct plating technique developed by Okhuoya et al. [13] was used for the isolation of pathogen. Fungi was isolated from the sick-soil of a heavily wilt infected plot. Ten grams (10 g) of each soil sample were serially diluted one to seven times (10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁷) in 90 ml of sterile, distilled water. First and fourth to seventh fold dilutions were duplicated and plated out in onetenth of a millilitre (1/10th ml) amounts on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium that was sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. The fungi isolated on the petri plates were incubated at 25-27°C for 72 hrs. With the aid of a sterilized inoculating needle, a small pinch of mycelial mass from isolates sub-cultured in PDA broth for inoculating into the healthy plants was kept at $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours.

According to the instructions given by Ford et al. [14], the cherry tomato genotypes and hybrids were allowed to get infected by the pathogen at the root area by creating a shallow groove in the root of the plant's base and placing the adjusted conidial suspension of FOL to 1.3x 10³ spores/ml of conidial suspension of FOL that was adjusted by a hemacytometer from the one-week old pure culture of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici. The required amount of fungal spores were injected near the groove of the seedling's root of 35 days old seedlings in the pots and covered with the soil separately in three replications following Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Then infection related signs were observed in the seedlings in the polyhouse condition.

2.4 Observation Recorded

With slight modifications, the Winstead and Kelman [15] 0–5 scale was used to rate the severity of the wilt symptoms in terms of number of wilted plants for each cherry tomato genotypes and hybrids. According to the modified rating scale the cherry tomato genotypes and hybrids were divided into the following categories: highly resistant (HS), resistant (R), moderately resistant (MR), moderately susceptible (MS), susceptible (S), and highly susceptible (HS).

Number of plants that succumbed due to *Fusarium* wilt was counted at initial disease assessment date (60 days after inoculation) and final disease assessment date (120 days after inoculation) after inoculation was calculated as below:

Applying the formula [16] as shown below, percent disease intensity (PDI) was estimated based on the numerical rating observed.

Grade	Reaction	Wilting
0	Highly resistant (HR)	Plants did not show any wilt symptom (0% wilted)
1	Resistant (R)	1-20% plants wilted
2	Moderately resistant (MR)	21-40% plants wilted
3	Moderately susceptible(MS)	41-60% plants wilted
4	Susceptible (S)	61-80% plants wilted
5	Highly susceptible (HS)	More than 80% plants wilted

Table 2. List of gradation use for reaction and wilting

 $[\]frac{\text{Disease (Wilt) incidence (DI\%)}}{\frac{\text{Number of wilted plants}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}}} X 100$

Sum of individual ratings

Percent disease index (PDI%) = $\frac{\text{Sum of individual ratings}}{\text{Total number of observation x Maximum rating grade}} X 100$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Screening of Cherry Tomato Genotypes and **Hybrids** against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici **Resistance in the Pot Culture**

Eighteen cherry tomato genotypes and hybrids collected and maintained at the Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh were screened through in- vivo inoculation method in pot culture during October, 2022- April, 2023. The first visible symptom for fusarium wilt was observed 12 days after inoculation in hybrid G4xG5, followed by 14 days after inoculation in G4xG9 and 17 days after inoculation in Genotype 3, while it took 36 days in hybrid G1xG10 after inoculation. The results for disease incidence, percent disease index and the host status were presented in the Table 3.

Maximum fusarium wilt as a whole disease incidence (DI) was recorded in Genotype 3 (65.56%) at 120 days after inoculation followed by G4xG9 (63.66%) and G4xG5 (63.30%), and lowest disease incidence was recorded in Genotype 13 (17.11%), followed by G2xG7 (18.36%) and G2xG9 (35.17%). No incidence was observed in hybrid G4xG6, Genotype 9 and Genotype 5 at the final disease assessment date.

With the lowest percent disease index (PDI) of fusarium wilt Genotype 13 (16.00%) showed resistance towards Fusarium infection followed by G2xG7 (18.00%), while G2xG9 (11.00%) moderately resistant for the fungal pathogen. High susceptibility was recorded in Genotype 3 (63.60%), followed by G4xG9 (61.80%), and G4xG5 (61.00%). Genotype 9, Genotype 5 and hybrid G4xG6 recorded zero PDI. Genetic regulation stands out as the most cost-effective and efficient approach for mitigating Fusarium wilt in tomatoes [17]. The process of domestication and selective breeding has facilitated the adaptability of cherry tomato to various geographic regions, resulting in the high vields. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that numerous indegenious cherry tomato genotypes from various states of Nort-East India lack genes that confer resistance to a broad spectrum of that pathogen. Hence, for instance, only few cherry tomato genotypes from North-East India exhibit resistance to Fusarium wilt.

Table 3. DI%, PDI % and screening status of cherry tomato genotypes and hybrids for fusarium
wilt

Genotypes/	Percent	Disease incidence (%)		Status
Hybrids	disease	IDAD (Initial Disease	FDAD (Final Disease	_
	index	Assessment	Assessment Date)	
	% (PDI)	Date)		
G2	50.00	16.65 (24.08)	52.05	Moderately
	(45.00)		(46.20)	susceptible(MS)
G3	63.60	37.67 (37.86)	65.56	Susceptible(MS)
	(52.90)		(54.67)	
G2xG3	56.00	20.00 (26.56)	57.44	Moderately
	(48.45)		(49.81)	susceptible(MS)
G7	52.00	20.00 (26.57)	54.44	Moderately
	(46.15)		(48.13)	susceptible(MS)
G2xG7	18.00	0.00 (2.50)	18.36	Resistant (R)
	(25.10)		(25.79)	
G4	58.00	17.44 (24.68)	59.33	Moderately
	(49.60)		(50.06)	susceptible(MS)
G5	00.00	0.00 (2.50)	0.00	Highly resistant (HR)
	(2.50)		(2.50)	
G4xG5	61.00	33.33 (35.26)	63.30	Moderately
	(51.35)		(51.95)	susceptible(MS)
G2xG4	57.00	25.55 (30.36)	58.11	Moderately
	(49.03)		(49.51)	susceptible(MS)
G9	00.00	0.00 (2.50)	0.00	Highly resistant (HR)

Genotypes/	Percent	Disease incidence (%)		Status
Hybrids	disease	IDAD (Initial Disease	FDAD (Final Disease	_
	index	Assessment	Assessment Date)	
	% (PDI)	Date)		
	(2.50)		(2.50)	
G4xG9	61.80	33.33 (35.26)	63.66	Susceptible(S)
	(51.83)		(53.53)	
G2xG9	34.00	15.11 (22.87)	35.17	Moderately resistant
	(35.67)		(36.08)	(MR)
G9xG10	52.00	23.33 (28.88)	54.44	Moderately
	(46.15)		(48.13)	susceptible(MS)
G1x G10	53.00	17.44 (24.68)	54.09	Moderately
	(46.72)		(47.28)	susceptible(MS)
G4xG6	0.00	0.00 (2.50)	0.00	Highly resistant (HR)
	(2.50)		(2.50)	
G11	56.00	11.11 (19.47)	57.44	Moderately
	(48.45)		(49.81)	susceptible(MS)
G12	60.00	33.33 (35.26)	62.22	Moderately
	(50.77)		(51.42)	susceptible(MS)
G13	16.00	0.00 (2.50)	17.11	Resistant (R)
	(23.58)		(24.87)	
SEm ±	0.38	0.19	0.36	
CV (%)	1.76	1.55	1.68	
CD at 5%	1.11	0.55	1.03	
CD at 1%	1.48	0.74	1.37	

Dutta et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 415-422, 2023; Article no.IJECC.107523

(Figures in the parenthesis are angularly transformed values)

3.2 Categorization of the Genotypes and Hybrids against *Fusarium wilt* Resistance

For fusarium wilt resistance, Genotype 9, Genotype 5 and hybrid G4xG6 were grouped in one category that showed highly resistant (HR) during the observation after 120 days of inoculation. Genotype 13 and hybrids G2xG7 were grouped in resistant (R), hybrid G2xG9 was found moderately resistant (MR), five genotypes, Genotype 11, Genotype 12, Genotype 7, Genotype 2 and Genotype 4 with five hybrids G2xG3, G4xG5, G2xG4, G9xG10 and G1xG10 were grouped under moderately susceptible (MS) while Genotype 3 and hybrid G4xG9 were grouped under susceptible (S) category. Since, Genotype 9, Genotype 5 and hybrid G4xG6 were found highly resistant in field condition; these can be used in breeding programme for development of resistant lines against fusarium wilt.

When it comes to disease incidence (DI), environmental conditions like fluctuation of temperature from low to high, relative humidity causes a noticeable breakdown in the level of resistance for the genotypes and hybrids towards the pathogen inside the polyhouse. It was

reported by Alexandrov [18]. Variations of the degree of resistance for *Fusarium oxysporum* by different varieties. cultivars and lines were reported by Fernandes et al. [11], Sanap et al. [10], Serife et al. [19]; Onyekachukwu et al. [20] and others in terms of % of plant infected by the pathogen with different ranges and the responses of the plants with respect to combination of genetic environmental factors.

Percent disease index showed nil in Genotype 9. Genotype 5 and hybrid G4xG6 under this study. Pothiraj et al. [21] reported fusarium wilt PDI range from 0-100% in tomato under various treatment of biocontrol agents. It was supported by Attia et al. [22] and Mohandas et al. [23]. The potential resistance of the hybrids to the fungus is attributed to Gene I-3. This gene encodes a protein akin to an S-receptor-like kinase, which has the capability to identify the effectors Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. generated by lycopersici. Upon recognition, it triggers the biochemical defense mechanisms of the plant [21]. Increasing content of enzymatic [22] and non-enzymatic antioxidants [23] also responsible for inducing resistance towards Fusarium within the stressed condition. For confirming their resistance for the pathogen, help of molecular markers could be taken to detect the presence of resistance genes within all genotypes and their inheritance pattern could also be studied in their respective hybrids [24,25].

4. CONCLUSION

The farmers of Arunachal Pradesh are showing interest towards the cultivation of cherry tomato as it has importance in their various local cuisines. But, the cultivation is greatly infected by wilt complexes including both pathogens and pests (nematodes). Hence, present investigation was carried out to address the problem of the farmers and to obtain a durable solution for them. Eighteen cherry tomato genotypes (nine) and hybrids (nine) were evaluated for their resistance towards Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici. All genotypes and hybrids responded differently towards the resistance for the pathogens. Out of all, Genotype 9, 5 and hybrid G4xG6 showed high resistance with no disease incidence, while wild Genotype 13 and hybrid G2xG7 were found resistant. A useful alternative to treatments like pesticides that might be an environmentally benign approach has been made possible by the adoption of resistant tomato genotypes or hybrids acquired via breeding programmes. But then also, for confirmation for the presence of resistant gene, molecular approaches need to be carried out. The creation of resistant plant types and the encouragement of resistance in plants would benefit greatly from a thorough understanding of these functions and the use of combinations of various genetic engineering approaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors extend their heartfelt thanks to the Department of Vegetable Science, Department of Plant Protection and Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities from CHF, CAU, Pasighat. Their invaluable support for conducting the research work is greatly appreciated.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bisht DS, Bhatia V, Bhattacharya R. Improving plant-resistance to insect-pests and pathogens: The new opportunities through targeted genome editing. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2019;96:65-76.
- 2. Lenucci MS, Cadinu D, Taurino M, Piro G, Dalessandro G. Antioxidant composition in

cherry a high pigment tomato cultivars. J Agric Food Chem. 2006;54(7):2606-13.

- 3. Prema G, Indiresh KK, Santosha HM. Evaluation of cherry tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum var. cerasiforme) genotypes for growth, yield and quality traits. Asian J Hortic. 2011;6:181-4.
- 4. Smith EF. A bacterial disease of the tomato, eggplant and Irish potato. US Dept. Agric Div Veg Phys Path Bull. 1896;12:1-26.
- Srinivas C, Nirmala Devi D, Narasimha Murthy K, Mohan CD, Lakshmeesha TR, Singh B, et al. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici causal agent of vascular wilt disease of tomato: Biology to diversity–A review. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2019;26(7):1315-24.
- Harish J, Jambhulkar PP, Bajpai R, Arya M, Babele PK, Chaturvedi SK, et al. Morphological characterization, pathogenicity screening, and molecular identification of Fusarium spp. isolates causing post-flowering stalk rot in maize. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:112-78.
- 7. Trabelsi R, Sellami H, Gharbi Y, Krid S, Cheffi M, Kammoun S et al. Morphological and molecular characterization of Fusarium spp. associated with olive trees dieback in Tunisia. 2017;7:1-9.
- Deng D, Sun S, Wu W, Zong X, Yang X, Zhang X et al. Screening for pea germplasms resistant to Fusarium wilt race 5. J Agron. 2022;12:1354-73.
- 9. Lai X, Qi A, Liu Y, Mendoza LEDR, Liu Z, Lin Z et al. Evaluating inoculation methods to infect sugar beet with Fusarium oxysporum f. betae and F. secorum. Plant Dis. 2020;104(5):1312-7.
- Sanap SB, Mete VS, Jaiswal KL, Mulekar VG. Evaluation of different essential oils against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici causing wilt in tomato. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9:3240-3.
- Fernandes RH, Silva DJHD, Delazari FT, Lopes EA. Screening of tomato hybrids for resistance to Fusarium wilt. Crop Breed Appl Biotechnol. 2023;22(4):1-7.
- Arici ŞE, Çaltili O, Soy Ö. Screening some tomato seedlings for Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (FOL). Int J Environ. 2018;2:44-52.
- Okhuoya JA, Okungbowa FI, Shittu HO. Biological techniques and applications. A comprehensive teaching manual. Uniben Press; 2012.

- Ford KL, Henricot B, Baumgartner K, Bailey AM, Foster GD. A faster inoculation assay for Armillaria using herbaceous plants. J Hortic Sci Biotechnol. 2015;92:39-47.
- 15. Winstead NN, Kelman A. Inoculation techniques for evaluating resistance to Pseudomonas solanacearum. Phytopathol. 1952;42:628-34.
- Mckinney HH. Influence of soil temperature and moisture on infection of wheat seedling by Helminthosporium sativum. J Agric Res. 1923;26:195-217.
- 17. Reis A, Giordano LB, Lopes CA, Boiteux LS. Novel sources of multiple resistance to three races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici in Lycopersicon germplasm. Crop Breed Appl Biotechnol. 2004;4(4): 495-502.
- Alexandrov Y. Mode of action of essential oil components of Tagetes erecta against bacterial wilt of tomatoes. Int Res J Microbiol. 2005;2:365-9.
- Serife EA, Oguzcan C, Ozdinc S. Screening some tomato seedlings for *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici (Fol). Int Environ Tre. 2018;2:44-52.
- Onyekachukwu O, Akaeze O. Adefoyeke. and M. Aduramigba. Fusarium wilt disease of tomato: screening for resistance and in vitro evaluation of botanicals for control;

The Nigeria Case. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci. 2017;7:32-6.

- 21. Pothiraj G, Hussain Z, Singh AK, Solanke AU, Aggarwal R, Ramesh R, et al. Characterization of Fusarium spp. inciting vascular wilt of tomato and its management by a Chaetomium-based biocontrol consortium. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:748013.
- 22. Attia MS, El-Wakil DA, Hashem AH, Abdelaziz AM. Antagonistic effect of plant growth-promoting fungi against Fusarium wilt disease in tomato: in vitro and in vivo study. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2022; 194(11):5100-18.
- 23. Mohandas S, Sowmya HD, Saxena AK, Meenakshi S, Rani RT, Mahmood R. Transgenic banana cv. Rasthali (AAB, Silk gp) harboring Ace-AMP1 gene imparts enhanced resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cubense race 1. Sci Hortic. 2013;164:392-9.
- 24. Catanzariti AM, Lim GTT, Jones DA. The tomato I-3 gene: A novel gene for resistance to Fusarium wilt disease. New Phytol. 2015;207(1):106-18.
- Akladious SA, Isaac GS, Abu-Tahon MA. Induction and resistance against Fusarium wilt disease of tomato by using sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L) extract. Can J Plant Sci. 2015;95(4):689-701.

© 2023 Dutta et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107523